



Bio-Oil Production from *Sargassum* Macroalgae: A Green and Healthy Source of Energy

Hossein Rahbari¹, Asadollah Akram^{1,*}, Maryam Pazoki² and Mortaza Aghbashlo¹

¹Department of Agricultural Machinery Engineering, Faculty of Agricultural Engineering and Technology, University of Tehran, Karaj, Iran

²Department of Environmental Engineering, Graduate Faculty of Environment, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran

*Corresponding author: Department of Agricultural Machinery Engineering, Faculty of Agricultural Engineering and Technology, University of Tehran, Karaj, Iran. Tel: +98-9123272851, Email: aakram@ut.ac.ir

Received 2018 September 15; Revised 2018 December 23; Accepted 2018 December 25.

Abstract

Background: Increases in fossil fuel consumption after industrial revolution has caused environmental problems and human diseases, and it is vital to replace fossil fuels by biofuels, not only for sustainable energy production, but also for the survival of earth and human lives.

Objective: Today, algae have become a potential source of biofuels to supply sustainable energy. *Sargassum* species are among the most predominant brown macro-algae in seas. Hydrothermal liquefaction is a promising process in biofuel production from biomass, which uses less energy to produce biofuel compared to other biofuel production techniques. The main objective of this paper, is the extraction of bio-oil from *Sargassum* macro-algae.

Methods: The hydrothermal liquefaction of *Sargassum* sp. was performed under three temperature conditions of 250, 300 and 350°C, both with and without using NiFe₂O₄ as a catalyst. The GC-MS and FTIR analysis performed to analyze the obtained bio-oil.

Results: Maximum liquefaction yield for the non-catalytic and catalytic process was 6.85 and 7.20%, which occurred at 300 and 350°C respectively. The obtained bio-oil has zero sulfur and low nitrogen (~4%) and oxygen (~10%) content, which implies that in terms of human health, the bio-oil will be healthy with some upgrading. The bio-oil was mainly composed of n-Hexadecanoic acid (57.86%) followed by tetradecanoic acid (5.12%).

Conclusions: According to the obtained results from this research, obtained the bio-oil requires upgrading to be useful as biofuel. Also, NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles increased the bio-oil yield and are useful to produce magnetic bio-char.

Keywords: Fossil Fuel, *Sargassum*, Macro-Algae, Biofuel, Hydrothermal Liquefaction

1. Background

After the industrial revolution, fossil fuels became the main source of energy for humankind (1). Increased fossil fuel consumption has resulted in higher SO_x, NO_x, and CO₂ emissions, leading to human health problems and global warming (2). In addition, the excess use of fossil fuels in the past decade has led this source of energy to depletion (3). Burning fossil fuels releases SO₂, NO₂, and other toxic substances such as formaldehyde and benzene, which all damage the human respiratory system and lungs and cause diseases like bronchitis, asthma, and emphysema (4, 5). The aforementioned problems give good reasons for research to find less harmful biofuels.

Until today, different kinds of biomass such as corn, sugar cane, soybean, coconut, jatropha, etc. have been used as feedstock to produce biofuels (6). These biomasses are known as the first and second biofuel generations,

which cannot meet the worldwide demand because they have some challenges such as being also used as human food, high production cost, limited sources of land and water, production efficiency, and sustainable feedstock supply (7, 8). Algae (micro and macro), also known as the third biofuel generation, are considered a promising feedstock for the production of biofuels (9). The average photosynthesis efficiency of algae is higher than that of terrestrial plants (10). In addition, algae do not compete for land or human food resources, use solar energy, fix carbon dioxide from the atmosphere, and synthesize carbohydrates and lipids, which can be extracted for biofuel production (11).

Hydrothermal liquefaction (HTL) technique is the conversion route of wet biomass to bio-oil under subcritical conditions. HTL is preferred over pyrolysis in the conversion of biomass to bio-oil due to the lower reaction temperature (300°C - 375°C) and using water as the reaction medium, reactant solvent, and catalyst (12). Using water

as the solvent and wet biomass as the feedstock are the main advantages of the HTL process in comparison with other conversion techniques, which decreases the conversion costs of drying (13). However, since HTL occurs in a subcritical water environment, the corrosion of equipment is one of the disadvantages of this process (14). In addition, in order to use bio-oil as a biofuel, catalytic upgrading is required (15).

Seaweeds can be classified into three taxonomic classes, including brown algae (Phaeophyta), green algae (Chlorophyta), and red algae (Rhodophyta) (16). *Sargassum* is a widespread brown macroalgae that could be found in the coastal areas, offshore platforms, and floating on seas; they also can be cultivated in artificial ponds. Compared to microalgae, macroalgae are considered interesting biomasses for biofuel production due to their low breeding cost and easy harvesting (17). Milledge and Harvey reported over 350 different species of *Sargassum* genus (18). In Iran, different *Sargassum* species such as *Sargassum angustifolium*, *Sargassum boveanum*, *Sargassum fluitans*, *Sargassum latifolium*, and *Sargassum vulgare* are found in the seashores of the Persian Gulf and the Oman Sea (19).

The HTL process can be conducted in the presence of a catalyst. The catalyst can reduce the retention time and operating temperature of the HTL process and it is commonly used to increase the bio-oil yield (1). Different catalysts have been employed by researchers to increase the bio-oil yield in the algae HTL process such as Na_2CO_3 , zeolite, KOH, $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$, etc. (20, 21). In this study, NiFe_2O_4 was employed as a nano-catalyst in order to study the catalytic effects on bio-oil production from *Sargassum* sp. To the best of our knowledge, no study has used NiFe_2O_4 as a catalyst in bio-oil production, especially algae bio-oil production. Rojas-Perez et al. (22) reported the production of bio-oil via the HTL process from *Ulva fasciata* by using Fe_3O_4 as the catalyst. The results showed a 9% increase in the bio-oil yield when catalyst loading increased to 1.25 wt%. Li et al. (10) studied bio-oil production from brown algae *Sargassum patens*, C.Agardh, via the HTL process. They found out that the maximum bio-oil yield occurred at 340°C. The obtained oil contained water, lipid, alcohol, phenol, esters, and aromatic compounds.

2. Objectives

In this study, the bio-oil production as a promising biofuel was studied by the HTL of *Sargassum* sp. in the presence of NiFe_2O_4 nanoparticles and analyzed in terms of the possibility of SO_x and NO_x emissions by CHNOS elemental analysis. In addition, gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (FTIR) analyses were conducted.


3. Methods

3.1. Materials

The algae sample of *Sargassum* sp. was collected in 2016 from the Persian Gulf, Iran. The algae were washed twice with distilled water to remove impurities. The algae were then dried in shade and pulverized to a particle size of < 0.5 mm.

3.2. Synthesis of Nanoparticles

Nickel (II) chloride hexahydrate ($\text{NiCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$), Iron (III) chloride hexahydrate ($\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$), chloroform (CHCl_3), and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) were purchased from a Merck subsidiary in Iran. The NiFe_2O_4 nanoparticles were synthesized via the co-precipitation method, as reported by Bagbi et al. (23) with some modifications. Briefly, according to a chemical equation (Equation 1), $\text{NiCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ with a molar ratio of 2:1 were mixed in deionized water under vigorous agitation at 60°C. Then, a solution of NaOH (1.5 M) was added dropwise into the solution. After 1 hour, NiFe_2O_4 was collected by a magnet and stored after being washed three times with distilled water. Maaz et al. (24) and Sagadevan et al. (25) synthesized nickel ferrite nanoparticles by the same materials and co-precipitation technique and confirmed the formation of NiFe_2O_4 nanoparticles by XRD and TEM (transmission electron microscopy) analyses. Since the synthesis methods are similar, it can be assumed that nanoparticles in this study had the same characteristics as reported in the mentioned studies.



3.3. Experimental Methods

The HTL process occurs in subcritical water conditions (below 374°C and 22 MPa) (26). Mainly, the operating conditions for the biomass HTL process are 250°C - 350°C and 8 - 22 MPa (27-30). Hydrothermal carbonization occurs at a lower temperature (180°C - 250°C) and hydrothermal gasification in supercritical conditions of water, which both were not the aim of this study (31). In order to study the different HTL conditions, three temperature levels of 250°C, 300°C, and 350°C were chosen as experimental conditions.

The HTL of *Sargassum* sp. in the presence of nickel ferrite as a catalyst was conducted in a 75 mL cylindrical reactor. The reactor was loaded with 2.5 g of *Sargassum* sp. at a biomass-to-water ratio of 1:10. Then, NiFe_2O_4 was loaded into the reactor with the catalyst-to-biomass ratio of 2:10 (dry basis). After that, distilled water was added to the reactor. The mixture was then purged under N_2 atmosphere

for one minute. The reactor was inserted into a furnace and heated to the desired temperature (250°C, 300°C, and 350°C). The temperature was held constant for 35 minutes. The measurements showed that the reactor would reach the set reaction temperature after about 10 minutes at a heating rate of 25 - 35°C/min. The reactor was then removed from the furnace and put into a cool-water bath to reach the room temperature.

The reactors were opened carefully and an equal amount of distilled water and chloroform was added to the reactor to completely recover the content. Then, the liquid phase was filtered using vacuum filtration to separate the solid phase. Next, chloroform was removed from the chloroform soluble liquid to obtain the bio-oil. The bio-oil yield was calculated using Equation 2 (32):

$$\text{Bio - oil yield (wt\%)} = \frac{\text{weight of bio - oil obtained (g)}}{\text{weight of biomass used (g)}} \times 100 \quad (2)$$

3.4. Analysis Methods

The elemental composition of the product was determined using a "Flash EA1112" CHNOS analyzer at the Central Instrumental Lab, University of Tehran, Iran. The components of the bio-oil were analyzed by the GC-MS technique. The GC-MS analysis was carried out with an Agilent 19091S-433 analyzer equipped with an HP-5MS column at Kimiazi Lab, Tehran, Iran. The helium carrier gas was set at a rate of 22.9 milliliters per minute. The injector temperature was set to 300°C and 2.0 microliters of bio-oil/methanol solution were injected into the GC-MS apparatus using a syringe. The NIST mass spectral library was used to identify the bio-oil compounds. In addition, an FTIR spectrometer was employed to determine the functional groups of the bio-oil.

4. Results

In this study, different amounts of catalysts were synthesized via the co-precipitation method to evaluate the results of using NiFe_2O_4 nanoparticles on the bio-oil yield of *Sargassum* sp. Figure 1 illustrates catalytic and non-catalytic bio-oil yield of *Sargassum* sp. at different temperatures. The yield of bio-oil was calculated on dry weight basis. As it is shown, the maximum bio-oil yield without a catalyst is 6.85 wt% at 300°C, while the maximum bio-oil yield of 7.20 wt% was achieved at the temperature of 350°C. In non-catalytic HTL, at the beginning the bio-oil yield increased by rising the temperature from 250 to 300°C and then decreased from 300 - 350°C.




Figure 1. Catalytic and non-catalytic bio-oil yield at different temperatures

4.1. Analysis of Bio-Oil

The elemental structure of bio-oil inspected by CHNOS elemental analysis in terms of carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen, sulfur and oxygen contents. The GC-MS and FT-IR analysis were employed to recognize the components and identify the functional group of bio-oil.

4.2. Elemental Analysis

The elemental analysis of obtained bio-oils shows an increase in the carbon content from 68.34 to 78.23 followed by an increase in hydrogen content from 8.91 to 10.15%. The content of oxygen, nitrogen, and sulfur was about 10%, 4%, and zero respectively.

4.3. GC-MS Analysis

GC-MS analysis was used in order to study the chemical component of *Sargassum* bio-oil. The chromatogram was analyzed using a NIST library. The main components of the bio oil is defined as the proportion of the area under the peak of the curve to the total area of the peaks in the chromatograms. As demonstrated in Figure 2, the largest bio-oil peak area at the retention time of 18.257 belongs to n-Hexadecanoic acid (palmitic acid) (57.86%) followed by tetradecanoic acid (5.12%) at the retention time of 16.13 (Figure 3).

4.4. FTIR Analysis

FTIR spectra of the bio-oil obtained in non-catalyst and catalytic HTL are shown in Figure 4. As it is shown both spectra exhibit similar vibrational mode.

The strong absorption at around 3420 cm^{-1} is possibly from O-H or N-H stretching vibration, it could be from water in the bio-oil. The absorptions at 2960 - 2855 cm^{-1} are related to C-H bonding stretching vibration, indicating alkyl C-H. Lots of weak peaks at 2100 to 2260 cm^{-1} represents the alkyne CC bonding stretching vibration. The strong C=O stretching vibration at 1726 cm^{-1} shows the presence









Component	Retention Time, min	Structure	Peak Area, %
Pentanoic acid, 5-hydroxy-,2,4-di- <i>t</i> -butylphenyl esters	13.24		1.89
Tetradecanoic acid	16.13		5.12
n-Hexadecanoic acid	18.26		57.86
Heptadecanoic acid, methyl ester	18.75		3.13
Propanedioic acid, oxo-, ethyl methyl ester	19.86		2.77
Benzenepropanoic acid, 3,5-bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)-4-hydroxy-,octadecyl ester	23.36		4.19
Vitamin E	27.71		1.62

Figure 2. Main compounds in bio-oil chromatogram

Figure 3. Chromatogram of algae bio-oil liquefied in presence of NiFe₂O₄

of aldehydes, esters, ketones, or acids. The medium peak at around 1600 cm⁻¹ shows the C=C bonding stretching vibration. The bands peaking around 1350 to 1450 cm⁻¹ indicates the C-H bending characteristic of fats. The strong peak at 1100 to 1300 cm⁻¹ shows the alcoholic C-O bonding stretching vibration. And the peaks occurring at around 700 cm⁻¹ represent the presence of aromatic compounds (33).

5. Discussion

A low bio-oil yield was expected due to using macro-algae as feedstock of HTL, which has also been reported by other researchers. Parsa et al. (27) reported a bio-crude yield of 15.7 and 16.9 percent for *Gracilaria gracilis* and *Cladophora glomerata* macro-algae, respectively. Generally, macro-algae species have lower lipid content and HHV in comparison to microalgae species, but some factors such as easy harvesting, the capability of cultivation in natural environments, lower initial capital, fast grow-




Figure 4. FTIR analysis of bio-oil obtained from non-catalytic and catalytic processes

ing, and high biomass productivity, made macro-algae an interest feedstock for bio-oil production (2, 34, 35). The increase in bio-oil yield is possible by changing other liquefaction parameters such as process time, biomass-to-water ratio, etc.

In non-catalytic HTL, decrease in the bio-oil yield could be attributed to higher conversion of compounds to the gas phase or secondary decomposition of compounds into lighter molecules. The bio-oil yield for catalytic conversion increased by rising the temperature from 250 to 350. This could happen due to an increase in hydrolysis reactions of biomass in response to temperature rise. At subcritical conditions, the energy required for depolymerization, isomerization and repolymerization reactions provided due to increasing the temperature of the process and more bio-oil achieves (36). It seems that NiFe_2O_4 prevent secondary decomposition and conversion of bio-oil to the gas phase while the temperature increases to 350, compared to the non-catalytic process.

Elemental analysis shows that the content of Oxygen, nitrogen and sulfur was about 10%, 4%, and zero respectively. Presence of nitrogen and sulfur leads to NO_x and SO_x emission in the combustion of bio-oil, while the presence of oxygen reduces the heating value of bio-oil (27). So, the content of oxygen, nitrogen, and sulfur must be reduced to zero by using catalytic processes. Díaz-Vázquez et al. (37) re-

ported similar elemental content for *Sargassum* with 71.54% carbon, 8.05% hydrogen, 18.05 oxygen, and low nitrogen and sulfur content.

GC-MS analysis results shows the majority of n-hexadecanoic acid. Other researchers also indicated that the main fatty-acid in a large number of macro-algae such as *Sargassum* species, *Dictyota dichotoma*, *Schizochytrium limacinum*, etc. is n-hexadecanoic acid (18, 38). Anand et al. (39) and Li (40) reported that the majority of n-Hexadecanoic acid and Tetradecanoic acid in bio-oil were obtained from pyrolysis of *Schizochytrium limacinum* microalga. The GC-MS results are consistent with the FTIR results.

5.1. Conclusions

In this paper, the HTL was performed on *Sargassum* sp. macroalgae at three temperature levels of 250°C, 300°C, and 350°C, with and without using NiFe_2O_4 as a catalyst. The liquefaction yield increased by increasing the reaction temperature from 250°C to 300°C for both processes, but for the non-catalytic process, the bio-oil yield decreased when the temperature increased from 300°C to 350°C. The obtained bio-oil had no sulfur with low nitrogen content. The N2 content could be reduced to zero by upgrading the bio-oil; thus, the bio-oil would be healthier and more environmentally friendly than fossil fuels due to zero SO_x and

NOx emissions. GC-MS and FTIR analyses were conducted to identify the compounds and the functional groups of the bio-oil.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank all faculty members of the department of Agricultural Machinery Engineering and the department of Environmental Engineering at University of Tehran, Iran.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: The manuscript was written by Hossein Rahbari and Maryam Pazoki developed the study concept, Asadollah Akram supervised the research and Mortaza Aghbashlo involved in literature study of the research.

Conflict of Interests: On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

Funding/Support: The paper was financially supported by the department of Agricultural Machinery Engineering at University of Tehran with the Ph.D thesis code of 100224 submitted in University of Tehran.

References

1. Akia M, Yazdani F, Motaei E, Han D, Arandiyani H. A review on conversion of biomass to biofuel by nanocatalysts. *Biofuel Res J*. 2014;**1**(1):16-25.
2. López Barreiro D, Beck M, Hornung U, Ronsse F, Kruse A, Prins W. Suitability of hydrothermal liquefaction as a conversion route to produce biofuels from macroalgae. *Algal Res*. 2015;**11**:234-41. doi: [10.1016/j.algal.2015.06.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2015.06.023).
3. Yadala S, Cremaschi S. Design and optimization of artificial cultivation units for algae production. *Energy*. 2014;**78**:23-39. doi: [10.1016/j.energy.2014.06.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2014.06.001).
4. Sharma SB, Jain S, Khirwadkar P, Kulkarni S. The effects of air pollution on the environment and human health. *Indian J Res Pharm Biotech*. 2013;**1**(3):391-6.
5. Lumb AB. Chapter19- Smoking and air pollution. In: Lumb AB, editor. *Nunn's applied respiratory physiology*. Elsevier; 2017. p. 281-90.
6. Chisti Y. Biodiesel from microalgae. *Biotechnol Adv*. 2007;**25**(3):294-306. doi: [10.1016/j.biotechadv.2007.02.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2007.02.001). [PubMed: [17350212](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17350212/)].
7. Naik SN, Goud VV, Rout PK, Dalai AK. Production of first and second generation biofuels: A comprehensive review. *Renew Sustainable Energy Rev*. 2010;**14**(2):578-97. doi: [10.1016/j.rser.2009.10.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2009.10.003).
8. Adeniyi OM, Azimov U, Burluka A. Algae biofuel: Current status and future applications. *Renew Sustainable Energy Rev*. 2018;**90**:316-35. doi: [10.1016/j.rser.2018.03.067](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.03.067).
9. Chiaramonti D, Maniatis K, Tredici MR, Verdelho V, Yan J. Life cycle assessment of algae biofuels: Needs and challenges. *Appl Energy*. 2015;**154**:1049-51. doi: [10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.06.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.06.006).
10. Li D, Chen L, Xu D, Zhang X, Ye N, Chen F, et al. Preparation and characteristics of bio-oil from the marine brown alga *Sargassum patens* C.Agardh. *Bioresour Technol*. 2012;**104**:737-42. doi: [10.1016/j.biortech.2011.11.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.11.011). [PubMed: [22119311](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22119311/)].
11. Song M, Duc Pham H, Seon J, Chul Woo H. Marine brown algae: A conundrum answer for sustainable biofuels production. *Renew Sustainable Energy Rev*. 2015;**50**:782-92. doi: [10.1016/j.rser.2015.05.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.05.021).
12. Chan YH, Quitain AT, Yusup S, Uemura Y, Sasaki M, Kida T. Optimization of hydrothermal liquefaction of palm kernel shell and consideration of supercritical carbon dioxide mediation effect. *J Supercritical Fluid*. 2018;**133**:640-6. doi: [10.1016/j.supflu.2017.06.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.supflu.2017.06.007).
13. Wang C, Pan J, Li J, Yang Z. Comparative studies of products produced from four different biomass samples via deoxy-liquefaction. *Biore-sour Technol*. 2008;**99**(8):2778-86. doi: [10.1016/j.biortech.2007.06.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2007.06.023). [PubMed: [17706413](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17706413/)].
14. Elliott DC, Biller P, Ross AB, Schmidt AJ, Jones SB. Hydrothermal liquefaction of biomass: developments from batch to continuous process. *Biore-sour Technol*. 2015;**178**:147-56. doi: [10.1016/j.biortech.2014.09.132](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.09.132). [PubMed: [25451780](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25451780/)].
15. Xu D, Lin G, Guo S, Wang S, Guo Y, Jing Z. Catalytic hydrothermal liquefaction of algae and upgrading of biocrude: A critical review. *Renew Sustainable Energy Rev*. 2018;**97**:103-18. doi: [10.1016/j.rser.2018.08.042](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.08.042).
16. Kadam SU, Tiwari BK, O'Donnell CP. Application of novel extraction technologies for bioactives from marine algae. *J Agric Food Chem*. 2013;**61**(20):4667-75. doi: [10.1021/jf400819p](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf400819p). [PubMed: [23634989](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23634989/)].
17. Guo J, Zhuang Y, Chen L, Liu J, Li D, Ye N. Process optimization for microwave-assisted direct liquefaction of *Sargassum polycystum* C.Agardh using response surface methodology. *Biore-sour Technol*. 2012;**120**:19-25. doi: [10.1016/j.biortech.2012.06.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.06.013). [PubMed: [22776261](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22776261/)].
18. Milledge J, Harvey P. Golden tides: Problem or golden opportunity? The valorisation of *Sargassum* from beach inundations. *J Mar Sci Eng*. 2016;**4**(3):60. doi: [10.3390/jmse4030060](https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse4030060).
19. Sohrabipour J, Rabii R. A list of marine algae of seashores of Persian Gulf and Oman sea in the Hormozgan province. *Iran J Botany*. 1999;**8**(1):131-62.
20. Xu C, Lad N. Production of heavy oils with high caloric values by direct liquefaction of woody biomass in sub/near-critical water. *Energy & Fuels*. 2008;**22**(1):635-42. doi: [10.1021/ef700424k](https://doi.org/10.1021/ef700424k).
21. Duan P, Savage PE. Hydrothermal liquefaction of a microalga with heterogeneous catalysts. *Ind Eng Chem Res*. 2011;**50**(1):52-61. doi: [10.1021/ie100758s](https://doi.org/10.1021/ie100758s).
22. Rojas-Perez A, Diaz-Diestra D, Frias-Flores CB, Beltran-Huacac J, Das KC, Weiner BR, et al. Catalytic effect of ultrananocrystalline Fe₃O₄ on algal bio-crude production via HTL process. *Nanoscale*. 2015;**7**(42):17664-71. doi: [10.1039/c5nr04404a](https://doi.org/10.1039/c5nr04404a). [PubMed: [26465090](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26465090/)].
23. Bagbi Y, Sarswat A, Mohan D, Pandey A, Solanki PR. Lead and chromium adsorption from water using L-cysteine functionalized magnetite (Fe₃O₄) nanoparticles. *Sci Rep*. 2017;**7**(1):7672. doi: [10.1038/s41598-017-03380-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-03380-x). [PubMed: [28794435](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28794435/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC5550514](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC5550514/)].
24. Maaz K, Karim S, Mumtaz A, Hasanain SK, Liu J, Duan JL. Synthesis and magnetic characterization of nickel ferrite nanoparticles prepared by co-precipitation route. *J Magnetism Magnetic Material*. 2009;**321**(12):1838-42. doi: [10.1016/j.jmmm.2008.11.098](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2008.11.098).
25. Sagadevan S, Chowdhury ZZ, Rafique RF. Preparation and characterization of nickel ferrite nanoparticles via co-precipitation method. *Material Res*. 2018;**21**(2). doi: [10.1590/1980-5373-mr-2016-0533](https://doi.org/10.1590/1980-5373-mr-2016-0533).
26. Xu CC, Shao Y, Yuan Z, Cheng S, Feng S, Nazari L, et al. Hydrothermal liquefaction of biomass in hot-compressed water, alcohols, and alcohol-water co-solvents for biocrude production. In: Jin F, editor. *Application of hydrothermal reactions to biomass conversion*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2014. p. 171-87. doi: [10.1007/978-3-642-54458-3_8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-54458-3_8).
27. Parsa M, Jalilzadeh H, Pazoki M, Ghasemzadeh R, Abduli M. Hydrothermal liquefaction of *Gracilaria gracilis* and *Cladophora glomerata* macro-algae for biocrude production. *Biore-sour Technol*. 2018;**250**:26-34. doi: [10.1016/j.biortech.2017.10.059](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.10.059). [PubMed: [29153647](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29153647/)].

28. Haarlemmer G, Guizani C, Anouti S, Dénier M, Roubaud A, Valin S. Analysis and comparison of bio-oils obtained by hydrothermal liquefaction and fast pyrolysis of beech wood. *Fuel*. 2016;**174**:180–8. doi: [10.1016/j.fuel.2016.01.082](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2016.01.082).
29. Galadima A, Muraza O. Hydrothermal liquefaction of algae and bio-oil upgrading into liquid fuels: Role of heterogeneous catalysts. *Renew Sustainable Energy Rev*. 2018;**81**:1037–48. doi: [10.1016/j.rser.2017.07.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.07.034).
30. Durak H, Aysu T. Structural analysis of bio-oils from subcritical and supercritical hydrothermal liquefaction of *Datura stramonium* L. *J Supercritical Fluid*. 2016;**108**:123–35. doi: [10.1016/j.supflu.2015.10.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.supflu.2015.10.016).
31. Kumar M, Olajire Oyedun A, Kumar A. A review on the current status of various hydrothermal technologies on biomass feedstock. *Renew Sustainable Energy Rev*. 2018;**81**:1742–70. doi: [10.1016/j.rser.2017.05.270](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.05.270).
32. Chan YH, Yusup S, Quitain AT, Uemura Y, Sasaki M. Bio-oil production from oil palm biomass via subcritical and supercritical hydrothermal liquefaction. *J Supercritical Fluid*. 2014;**95**:407–12. doi: [10.1016/j.supflu.2014.10.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.supflu.2014.10.014).
33. Hornback JM. Interpretation of ir spectra. *Organic chemistry*. 2nd ed. 2005.
34. Sudhakar K, Mamat R, Samykano M, Azmi WH, Ishak WFW, Yusaf T. An overview of marine macroalgae as bioresource. *Renew Sustainable Energy Rev*. 2018;**91**:165–79. doi: [10.1016/j.rser.2018.03.100](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.03.100).
35. Milledge JJ, Harvey PJ. Potential process 'hurdles' in the use of macroalgae as feedstock for biofuel production in the British Isles. *J Chem Technol Biotechnol*. 2016;**91**(8):2221–34. doi: [10.1002/jctb.5003](https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.5003). [PubMed: [27635107](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27635107/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC4999046](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC4999046/)].
36. Guo Y, Yeh T, Song W, Xu D, Wang S. A review of bio-oil production from hydrothermal liquefaction of algae. *Renew Sustainable Energy Rev*. 2015;**48**:776–90. doi: [10.1016/j.rser.2015.04.049](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.04.049).
37. Díaz-Vázquez LM, Rojas-Perez A, Fuentes-Caraballo M, Robles IV, Jena U, Das KC. Demineralization of *Sargassum* spp. macroalgae biomass: Selective hydrothermal liquefaction process for bio-oil production. *Frontiers Energy Res*. 2015;**3**. doi: [10.3389/fenrg.2015.00006](https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2015.00006).
38. Bakar K, Mohamad H, Latip J, Tan HS, Herng GM. Fatty acids compositions of *Sargassum granuliferum* and *Dictyota dichotoma* and their anti-fouling activities. *J Sustainability Sci Manag*. 2017;**12**(2):8–16.
39. Anand V, Gautam R, Vinu R. Non-catalytic and catalytic fast pyrolysis of *Schizochytrium limacinum* microalga. *Fuel*. 2017;**205**:1–10. doi: [10.1016/j.fuel.2017.05.049](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2017.05.049).
40. Li G, Zhou Y, Ji F, Liu Y, Adhikari B, Tian L, et al. Yield and characteristics of pyrolysis products obtained from *Schizochytrium limacinum* under different temperature regimes. *Energies*. 2013;**6**(7):3339–52. doi: [10.3390/en6073339](https://doi.org/10.3390/en6073339).