



Comparative study of GA and MAC Anesthesia Methods Effects on Oocyte Retrieval in Patients Under IVF Programs

Sadeqh Mohamadi¹, Neda Khaledian^{2,*}, Ali Karbasfrushan³, Seyed Sajad Hosseini² and Ebrahim Ezzati⁴

¹Imam Hossein Hospital, Kermanshah, Iran

²Department of Paramedical Sciences, Kermanshah Medical Sciences University, Kermanshah, Iran

³Department of Critical Care and Pain Management, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

⁴Department of Anesthesiology, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

*Corresponding author: Clinical instructor, department of paramedical sciences, Kermanshah medical sciences University, Kermanshah, Iran Email: neda.khaledian.22@gmail.com

Received 2020 October 05; Revised 2021 March 28; Accepted 2021 April 03.

Abstract

Background: Anesthesia is widely used to manage pain and anxiety during oocyte retrieval in vitro fertilization (IVF), but there is probable interference with the results and success rate of IVF.

Objectives: The present study compares the effects of general anesthesia (GA) and monitored anesthesia care (MAC) applied in oocyte retrieval.

Methods: The general anesthesia used in this study comprised a fentanyl-propofol mixture to reach hypnotic concentrations. Intravenous ketamine-midazolam were used for MAC before procedures according to prescribed doses. In sum, 180 women were recruited for research while 90 women selected for general and 90 for MAC methods.

Results: The collected oocytes were higher in number in general group (11.25 ± 4.39) than MAC group (7.03 ± 3.84 , $P < 0.001$), meanwhile, the analyses did not show difference between groups regarding the number of fertilized oocytes (4.52 ± 3.18 vs. 4.15 ± 3.02). The differences in successful pregnancy was not significant.

Conclusions: Thus, it seems from findings that fentanyl-propofol GA without nitrous oxide is an appropriate alternative to ketamine-midazolam MAC and can be used for IVF oocyte retrieval if GA is demanded.

Keywords: Anesthesia, IVF, Fentanyl, Propofol, Oocyte Retrieval

1. Background

In-vitro fertilization (IVF) for human oocyte for the first time was administered by Steptoe and Edwards in 1978 (1). The success of this approach has extended with new technological innovations, so that risk of being pregnant after a cycle of hormonal stimulation has raised more than 25 - 30% (2). A fundamental step of IVF treatment is recovery of oocytes from the ovary. The procedure of transvaginal oocyte retrieval (TVOR) also called oocyte retrieval (OCR), is an important part of IVF treatment. This procedure requires a relatively long operative time and analgesia (3), though in comparison with laparoscopic approach it is less invasive (4). There are various pain relief techniques used for transvaginal oocyte retrieval which has been proven in a survey to be used as follows: Monitored anesthesia care (MAC) in 46% of the centers, General anesthesia (GA) in 28%, regional anesthesia with sedation in 12% and a cocktail regime in the 14% other centers (5). These techniques are applied in order to reach harmless and efficient opti-

mal surgical environments which facilitate analgesia and quicken the post-operative recovery. But, there are some concerns about the possible effects that drugs applied in these techniques can impose on reproductive outcome (6). Analgesic/sedative agents have shown to adversely affect the oocyte maturation and fertilization in animal studies (7).

The oocyte retrieval can be a stressful experience due to the penetration of vaginal mucosa and the ovarian capsule, though various factors modify this stress. It has been suggested that the optimal pain relief procedure would embrace the flexibility to respond to the altering needs of oocyte recovery in women. Patient-controlled analgesia (PCA) using an individualized approach allows women to have some amounts of control over administering drug which due to more satisfaction of patients (8). Some advantages are shown for conscious sedation such as maintaining patient co-operation and performing the procedure conveniently without anaesthetist in the outpatient

setting. This method is used frequently for providing analgesia/anesthesia during transvaginal oocyte retrieval (9), as the statistics shows 95% usage in IVF centers of USA (10), and 84% usage in IVF clinics of UK (11). In the other hand, approximately 50% of clinics in Germany and 16% of UK clinics use GA for IVF procedures (12).

Electro-acupuncture has reported to be a suitable alternative to conventional anesthesia during egg collection for IVF (6). Administration of para cervical block (PCB) is already used combined with opioids, sedatives, hypnotics, and also acupuncture with or without premedication during oocytes retrieval via transvaginal ultrasound in some researches (3, 4, 13). Transvaginal puncture for oocyte retrieval is terrifying for many patients because this method seems stressful and painful for them, and they prefer using MAC or pain relief and some favour GA.

The high doses of diverse local anesthetics have undesirable effects on fertilization and embryonal development (14). Anaesthetic agents have also been detected in follicular fluid and may interfere with fertilization. However, regarding the fact that oocytes are washed after retrieval and significantly lower concentrations are attained clinically, using local anesthetics seems to have limited clinical reliability and no negative effects have been shown on fertilization or implantation rates in human trials (15). In mouse embryos under exact tests, the nitrous oxide harmfully affected DNA synthesis by decreasing the number of embryos. Conversely, in human studies the effect of nitrous oxide-isoflurane anesthesia on IVF pregnancy was not significant. Only sporadic findings show that using of general anesthesia (GA), especially with nitrous oxide, for oocyte retrieval can negatively affect the outcomes of IVF.

Opioids like fentanyl and remifentanyl have not appeared to affect reproductive success (16). Furthermore, the application of midazolam and ketamine during assisted reproduction is reported as safe drugs (17). Recently, new findings are supporting the use of propofol as a safe alternative assisted reproduction (18).

The present study investigated the effects of GA vs. MAC methods on oocyte retrieval and IVF outcome among women under IVF programs.

2. Objectives

The present study investigated the effects of GA vs. MAC methods on oocyte retrieval and IVF outcome among women under IVF programs.

3. Methods

The statistical population of the study included all women who applied for IVF services in 2009 and referred to the centers providing these services in the country. Of

these people, 180 people were collected by random sampling method available as a research sample. The inclusion criteria were as follows: All samples ranged in age from 30 to 45 years, had infertility due to uterine and ovarian disorders, had no specific or severe disease at the time of the study, and were not regular or recreational drug users.

Ultrasound-guided follicular aspiration was scheduled to apply for 180 patients who were recruited for this research. 90 women received MAC, while 90 patients were in GA group. All patients were fasting for 8 hours. MAC group patients were merely given intravenous injections of ketamine and midazolam, with an individualized dose based on the clinical necessities and patients' comfort. In the GA group, propofol (4 mg/kg) and fentanyl (1 µg/kg/min) induced the anesthesia. In order to maintain the anesthesia, patients took fentanyl (0.10 – 0.20 µg/kg/min) in combination with isoflurane (0.5 vol % end tidal) or diazepam (1.5 – 2.5 mg/kg/h). Samples were instructed to avoid smoking (including painkillers, contraceptives, hormones, nervous system stimulants, and the like) for three days prior to the research protocol.

Ovarian hyper-stimulation was achieved for all patients, after a protocol aiming pituitary down-regulation, which started at the midluteal cycle of the prior phase, by means of triptorelinacetat. Analogue ovarian stimulation was achieved by human menopausal gonadotrophin 100 to 350 IU, after 10 days of luteal suppression by gonadotrophin releasing hormone. Follicular development was supervised by ultrasonography and serum estradiol assessments. 40 hours later oocyte recovery was performed in participants by transvaginal follicular aspiration. Period of this process was between 15 - 18 minutes. Then, culturing the recovered oocytes were placed in cell culture dishes in Hams F-10 medium, which was accompanied by heat inactivated patient serum at 36 - 37°C for 3 - 4 hours already to fertilization. At the first 24 hours of incubation, 15 percent serum was applied and the second 24 hours' incubation period 30 percent was used in Harms, F (12) medium.

100,000 to 120,000 motile spermatozoa were gathered from male spouse and after centrifugation were used to inseminate the oocytes (three fertilized oocytes were incubated for 24 hours more). Luteal support with 75 mg progesterone was provided for patients by two prescribed doses per day which started 24 hours before transferring embryo and persistent up to 12th gestation week. The factors which were selected for comparison of MAC and GA impacts were as follows: the number of fertilized and transferred oocytes, overall number of retrieved oocytes, and the final pregnancy rate.

The consent forms were filled and signed by the all patients. The ethical mandate of study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Sarem Hospital. Statistical analysis was administered using two tests of the

Mann-Whitney-U and Fisher's exact test in their appropriate places. The level of significant was set at $P < 0.05$; the descriptive data are indicated as means and standard deviations (SD).

4. Results

The analysis of participants was first distinguished according to age, primary IVF indications, the technique used for ovarian stimulation, and the level of serum estradiol on the day that HCG was administered. Using GA a number of 1206 oocytes was gathered (Table 1) with a mean number of 11.25 ± 4.29 per patient (Table 2). This was higher in the MAC group: 610 oocytes in overall numbers and a mean of 7.03 ± 4.14 oocytes for each patient ($P < 0.001$). However, there was no significant difference between groups regarding the number of fertilized oocytes (4.52 ± 3.18 with GA vs. 4.15 ± 3.02 with MAC). Furthermore, the differences regarding the number of pregnancies or the number of transferred oocytes were not significant.

Table 1. Effects of the Anesthetic Method on the Outcome of Human in Vitro Fertilization

	MAC	GA	P value
Patients [n]	90	90	Not significant
Collected oocytes [n]	610	1206	0.001
Fertilized oocytes [n]	413	504	Not significant
Fertilization rate [%]	68.2	45.3	-
Transferred oocytes [n]	242	277	Not significant
Pregnancies [n]	29	23	Not significant
Pregnancy rate	28.5	21.9	-

Table 2. Mean Number of Collected, Fertilized and Transferred Oocytes

	MAC	GA	P value
No. of patients	90	90	Not significant
Mean no. of collected oocytes	7.03 ± 3.84	11.25 ± 4.29	0.001
Mean no. of fertilized oocytes	4.15 ± 2.68	4.25 ± 2.76	Not significant
Mean no. of transferred oocytes	2.36 ± 0.87	2.39 ± 0.90	Not significant

The data in Table 3 shows that most causes of infertility in subjects were unexplained, after that male problems stands, then ovulatory and tubal problems exist.

As can be seen from the findings in Table 1, there were significant differences in fertility rate and pregnancy rate in terms of number of eggs collected.

Based on the findings in Table 2, the two groups differed significantly in terms of the average number of eggs collected.

5. Discussion

In this study, we investigated the question of whether general anesthesia with fentanyl-propofol without nitric oxide could be a viable option for the ketamine-midazolam-supervised procedure to be used in IVF if general anesthesia is required. General anesthesia or monitored anesthesia care (MAC) using anesthetic agents have been detected in follicular fluid. The induction agents thiopental and thiamylal and the levels of follicular fluid in them, has been investigated (16). Recently a few researches offered pharmacokinetic data explaining the period of both (11). Wikland et al. tried to recognize lidocaine in follicular fluid for applying paracervical blocks, while, measureable amounts of propofol or fentanyl and midazolam are demonstrated through transvaginal oocyte retrieval (19-23). However, general anesthetics are identified in follicular fluid, thus, there is a worry about these possible damage that these drugs can bring to the oocyte and follicular structure which might inhibit the IVF success (24).

Gin (2019) stated that the continued exposure of oocytes to the mixture of anesthetic ingredients could cause fatal consequences for the oocyte (25). Guasch (2019) detailed that declined fertilizing rates of ova gathered following extended exposure about 50% nitrous oxide and almost 1% isoflurane or enflurane anesthesia (9). Analogous findings were described by De Suter et al. (23).

The current study showed that the number of retrieved oocytes were considerably greater with fentanyl-propofol based GA than with MAC. Considering these results, GA shortly appeared to increase the oocyte retrieval success rate, while these results are most conceivably illuminated by the enhanced ease for the patient throughout the process of transvaginal puncture. Nevertheless, with GA, the more retrieved oocytes number had an inferior fertilization rate, subsequently inducing nearly similar fertilized oocytes rates for each patient. Meanwhile the factors with eminent impact on the number of fertilized oocytes were similar in two group; for instance: ovarian stimulation protocol, age, needle gauge, response of estradiol, and medium of culturing). Therefore, this explanation can clarify the results: Fertilization rates were different between the groups maybe because the oocyte milieu affecting oocyte quality were different (26-28).

Our findings regarding IVF outcomes showed comparable data to other studies with ketamine-midazolam-supervised procedure. However, care must be taken on administered doses of propofol, as a negative impact of high doses propofol on oocyte quality and fertilization have been shown in initial and experimental. On the other hand, doses used in our study were significantly lower than those used in studies related to the negative effect of propofol on the reproductive outcome. Specifically, in these studies propofol was administered as a dose of

Table 3. Demographic Characteristics of Participants

Variables	Means	S.D
Age (y)	31.8	5.2
Weight (kg)	70.3	8.4
Height (m)	1.64	0.09
BMI (kg/m ²)	26.13	3.1
Smoking, No (%)	14 (12.6%)	3
Age of menarche (years)	12.86	1.5
Infertility		
primary	63	-
secondary	27	-
Cause of infertility		
Unexplained	31	-
Male	27	-
Ovulatory	23	-
Tubal	9	-

2.5 mg/kg followed by continuous infusion of 200 microgram/kg/min or 500 microgram/kg/min, respectively, and was associated with a significantly higher rate of abnormal fertilization (29). The experimental data showing that the toxic effect of propofol on the ability of oocytes to be fertilized is dose-dependent (30).

Jensen et al. (1987) analyzed fertilization rates of mature oocytes gathered with either GA or intravenous MAC in an IVF context (31). They demonstrated that the difference between the first and last gathered oocyte was not significant, however showed a tendency to lower fertilization rates with elongated exposure to anesthetic drugs, while it was further manifested for GA than for intravenous MAC. Furthermore, GA may additionally defeat the secretion of gonadotrophin-releasing hormone and gonadotrophin with a present spur of prolactin release (Hofmann, 2010). It seems feasible that the declined level of gonadotrophin could negatively impact the following luteal phase and development of endometrial part. Nonetheless, Forman et al. (1985) in their study showed that greater prolactin levels were not able to modify fertilization rates.

Conversely, Quigley et al. (1982) studied the correlation between follicular size and the oocyte recovery and fertilization rates (32). Their findings indicated that the oocytes obtained from smaller follicles, presented a considerably lesser fertilization rate. The lower fertilization rate with GA comparing the MAC in the present study, can be described by Quigley et al explanations.

In summary, our findings demonstrated that nitrous oxide free application of fentanyl-propofol based GA can be appropriate substitute to MAC and can be suggested for IVF oocyte retrieval, when GA is demanded.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Study concept and design: Neda Khaledian; Analysis and interpretation of data: Ebrahim Ezzati; Drafting of the manuscript: Sadeh Mohammadi; Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Seyed Sajjad Hosseini; Statistical analysis: Ali Karbasfrushan

Conflict of Interests: There is no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval: The ethical mandate of study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Sarem Hospital.

Funding/Support: There is no funding/support

Informed Consent: The consent forms were filled and signed by all the patients

References

- Chian RC, Cao YX. In vitro maturation of immature human oocytes for clinical application. *Methods Mol Biol.* 2014;**1154**:271–88. doi: [10.1007/978-1-4939-0659-8_12](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-0659-8_12). [PubMed: [24782014](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24782014/)].
- Vaughan DA, Leung A, Resetkova N, Ruthazer R, Penzias AS, Sakkas D, et al. How many oocytes are optimal to achieve multiple live births with one stimulation cycle? The one-and-done approach. *Fertil Steril.* 2017;**107**(2):397–404 e3. doi: [10.1016/j.fertnstert.2016.10.037](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2016.10.037). [PubMed: [27916206](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27916206/)].
- Ng EH, Miao B, Ho PC. A randomized double-blind study to compare the effectiveness of three different doses of lignocaine used in paracervical block during oocyte retrieval. *J Assist Reprod Genet.* 2003;**20**(1):8–12. doi: [10.1023/a:1021202604049](https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1021202604049). [PubMed: [12645862](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12645862/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC3455803](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC3455803/)].
- Ng EH, Tang OS, Chui DK, Ho PC. Comparison of two different doses of lignocaine used in paracervical block during oocyte collection in an IVF programme. *Hum Reprod.* 2000;**15**(10):2148–51. doi: [10.1093/humrep/15.10.2148](https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/15.10.2148). [PubMed: [11006189](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11006189/)].

5. Beilin Y, Bodian CA, Mukherjee T, Andres LA, Vincent RJ, Hock DL, et al. The use of propofol, nitrous oxide, or isoflurane does not affect the reproductive success rate following gamete intrafallopian transfer (GIFT): a multicenter pilot trial/survey. *Anesthesiology*. 1999;**90**(1):36-41. doi: [10.1097/00000542-199901000-00007](https://doi.org/10.1097/00000542-199901000-00007). [PubMed: 9915310].
6. Wilhelm W, Hammadeh ME, White PF, Georg T, Fleser R, Biedler A. General anesthesia versus monitored anesthesia care with remifentanyl for assisted reproductive technologies: effect on pregnancy rate. *Journal of Clinical Anesthesia*. 2002;**14**(1):1-5. doi: [10.1016/s0952-8180\(01\)00331-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0952-8180(01)00331-2).
7. Kwan I, Bhattacharya S, Knox F, McNeil A, Kwan I. Conscious sedation and analgesia for oocyte retrieval during in vitro fertilisation procedures. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2005. doi: [10.1002/14651858.CD004829.pub2](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD004829.pub2).
8. Momeni M, Crucitti M, De Kock M. Patient-controlled analgesia in the management of postoperative pain. *Drugs*. 2006;**66**(18):2321-37. doi: [10.2165/00003495-200666180-00005](https://doi.org/10.2165/00003495-200666180-00005). [PubMed: 17181375].
9. Guasch E, Gomez R, Brogly N, Gilsanz F. Anesthesia and analgesia for transvaginal oocyte retrieval. Should we recommend or avoid any anesthetic drug or technique? *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol*. 2019;**32**(3):285-90. doi: [10.1097/ACO.0000000000000715](https://doi.org/10.1097/ACO.0000000000000715). [PubMed: 31045635].
10. Bümen S, Günüşen İ, Firat V, Karaman S, Akdoğan A, Göker ENT. A comparison of intravenous general anesthesia and paracervical block for in vitro fertilization: effects on oocytes using the transvaginal technique. *Turkish Journal of Medical Sciences*. 2011;**41**(5):801-8.
11. Ernst BJ, Clark GF, Grundmann O. The Physicochemical and Pharmacokinetic Relationships of Barbiturates - From the Past to the Future. *Curr Pharm Des*. 2015;**21**(25):3681-91. doi: [10.2174/1381612821666150331031009](https://doi.org/10.2174/1381612821666150331031009). [PubMed: 25824249].
12. Ben-Shlomo I, Moskovich R, Katz Y, Shalev E. Midazolam/ketamine sedative combination compared with fentanyl/propofol/isoflurane anaesthesia for oocyte retrieval. *Hum Reprod*. 1999;**14**(7):1757-9. doi: [10.1093/humrep/14.7.1757](https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/14.7.1757). [PubMed: 10402383].
13. Wiser A, Levron J, Kreizer D, Achiron R, Shrim A, Schiff E, et al. Outcome of pregnancies complicated by severe ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS): a follow-up beyond the second trimester. *Hum Reprod*. 2005;**20**(4):910-4. doi: [10.1093/humrep/deh713](https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/deh713). [PubMed: 15618246].
14. Ben-Shlomo I, Moskovich R, Golan J, Eyal V, Tabak A, Shalev E. The effect of propofol anaesthesia on oocyte fertilization and early embryo quality. *Hum Reprod*. 2000;**15**(10):2197-9. doi: [10.1093/humrep/15.10.2197](https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/15.10.2197). [PubMed: 11006198].
15. Matsota P, Sidiropoulou T, Batistaki CHRYSANTHI, Giannaris DIM-ITRIOS, Pandazi AGGELIKI, Krepi H, et al. Analgesia with remifentanyl versus anesthesia with propofol-alfentanil for transvaginal oocyte retrieval: a randomized trial on their impact on in vitro fertilization outcome. *Middle East J Anaesthesiol*. 2012;**21**(5):685-92.
16. Jarahzadeh MH, Davar R, Hajiesmaeili MR, Entezari A, Musavi F. Remifentanyl versus fentanyl for assisted reproductive technologies: effect on hemodynamic recovery from anesthesia and Outcome of ART Cycles. *International journal of fertility & sterility*. 2011;**5**(2):86.
17. Rabiee M, Alijanpour E, Jabbari A, Khirkhah F, Mortazavi Y, Bijani A. Comparison of sodium diclofenac, ketamine and propofol with fentanyl and midazolam in balanced anaesthesia. *Anesth Essays Res*. 2011;**5**(2):176-81. doi: [10.4103/0259-1162.94760](https://doi.org/10.4103/0259-1162.94760). [PubMed: 25885384]. [PubMed Central: PMC4173415].
18. Matsota P, Kaminioti E, Kostopanagiotou G. Anesthesia related toxic effects on in vitro fertilization outcome: Burden of proof. *Biomed Res Int*. 2015;**2015**:475362. doi: [10.1155/2015/475362](https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/475362). [PubMed: 26161404]. [PubMed Central: PMC4486487].
19. Casati A, Valentini G, Zangrillo A, Senatore R, Mello A, Airaghi B, et al. Anaesthesia for ultrasound guided oocyte retrieval: midazolam/remifentanyl versus propofol/fentanyl regimens. *Eur J Anaesthesiol*. 1999;**16**(11):773-8. doi: [10.1046/j.1365-2346.1999.00584.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2346.1999.00584.x). [PubMed: 10713871].
20. Boyers SP, Lavy G, Russell JB, DeCherney AH. A paired analysis of in vitro fertilization and cleavage rates of first- versus last-recovered preovulatory human oocytes exposed to varying intervals of 100% CO₂ pneumoperitoneum and general anesthesia. *Fertility and Sterility*. 1987;**48**(6):969-74. doi: [10.1016/s0015-0282\(16\)59593-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0015-0282(16)59593-4).
21. Boyers SP, Stronk JN, Fino L, Russel JB, Lavy G, DeCherney AH. The time interval between laparoscopic aspiration of mature human oocytes affects fertilization rate (abstract). *42nd Annual Meeting of the American Fertility Society and the 18th Annual Meeting of the Canadian Fertility and Andrology Society*. September 27 to October 2; Toronto, Ontario, Canada, Birmingham. The American Fertility Society; 1986. p. 83-84.
22. Christiaens F, Janssenswillen C, Van Steirteghem AC, Devroey P, Verborgh C, Camu F. Comparison of assisted reproductive technology performance after oocyte retrieval under general anaesthesia (propofol) versus paracervical local anaesthetic block: a case-controlled study. *Hum Reprod*. 1998;**13**(9):2456-60. doi: [10.1093/humrep/13.9.2456](https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/13.9.2456). [PubMed: 9806267].
23. De Suter P, Dhont M, Merchiers E, Depypere H, Coetsier T, Vandekerckhove D. Effect of sequence of oocyte retrieval on oocyte fertilization. *Hum Reprod*. 1991;**340**(Suppl 1):483.
24. Endler GC, Stout M, Magyar DM, Hayes MF, Moghissi KS, Sacco AG. Follicular fluid concentrations of thiopental and thiamylal during laparoscopy for oocyte retrieval. *Fertility and Sterility*. 1987;**48**(5):828-33. doi: [10.1016/s0015-0282\(16\)59539-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0015-0282(16)59539-9).
25. Gin T. *Pharmacology during pregnancy and lactation*. Chestnut's Obstetric Anesthesia E-Book; 2019.
26. Facio CL, Previano LF, Machado-Paula LA, Matheus PC, Araujo E. Comparison of two sperm processing techniques for low complexity assisted fertilization: sperm washing followed by swim-up and discontinuous density gradient centrifugation. *JBRA Assist Reprod*. 2016;**20**(4):206-11. doi: [10.5935/1518-0557.20160040](https://doi.org/10.5935/1518-0557.20160040). [PubMed: 28050954]. [PubMed Central: PMC5265618].
27. Hammadeh ME, Stieber M, Haidl G, Schmidt W. Sperm count in ejaculates and after sperm selection with discontinuous percoll gradient centrifugation technique, as a prognostic index of IVF outcome. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 1997;**259**(3):125-31. doi: [10.1007/BF02505320](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02505320). [PubMed: 9187464].
28. Hofmann HA. The neuroendocrine action potential. Winner of the 2008 Frank Beach Award in Behavioral Neuroendocrinology. *Horm Behav*. 2010;**58**(4):555-62. doi: [10.1016/j.yhbeh.2010.06.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2010.06.012). [PubMed: 20600047].
29. Piroli A, Marci R, Marinangeli F, Paladini A, Di Emidio G, Giovanni Artini P, et al. Comparison of different anaesthetic methodologies for sedation during in vitro fertilization procedures: effects on patient physiology and oocyte competence. *Gynecol Endocrinol*. 2012;**28**(10):796-9. doi: [10.3109/09513590.2012.664193](https://doi.org/10.3109/09513590.2012.664193). [PubMed: 22420562].
30. Siristatidis C, Pouliakis A, Sergeantanis TN. Special characteristics, reproductive, and clinical profile of women with unexplained infertility versus other causes of infertility: a comparative study. *J Assist Reprod Genet*. 2020;**37**(8):1923-30. doi: [10.1007/s10815-020-01845-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10815-020-01845-z). [PubMed: 32504303]. [PubMed Central: PMC7467999].
31. Jensen JT, Boyers SP, Grunfeld LH, DeCherney AH. Anesthesia exposure may affect fertilization rate of human oocytes collected by ultrasound aspiration. *5th World Congress on In Vitro Fertilization and Embryotransfer*. April 5-10; Norfolk, Virginia. Birmingham, The American Fertility Society; 1987.
32. Quigley MM, Wolf DP, Maklad NF, Dandekar PV, Sokoloski JE. Follicular size and number in human in vitro fertilization**Presented in part at the Tenth World Congress of Gynecology and Obstetrics, October 17 to 22, 1982, San Francisco, California. *Fertility and Sterility*. 1982;**38**(6):678-81. doi: [10.1016/s0015-0282\(16\)46693-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0015-0282(16)46693-8).