

# **Comorbidity Influences Multiple Aspects of Well-Being of Patients** with Ischemic Heart Disease

Shervin Assari <sup>1, 2, \*</sup>, Maryam Moghani Lankarani <sup>3, 4</sup>, Khodabakhsh Ahmadi <sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Health Behavior and Health Education, School of Public Health, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA <sup>2</sup>Center for Research on Ethnicity, Culture, and Health (CRECH), School of PublicHealth, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

<sup>3</sup>Medicine and Health Promotion Institute, Tehran, IR Iran

<sup>4</sup>Universal Network for Health Information Dissemination and Exchange (UNHIDE), Tehran, IR Iran

<sup>5</sup>Behavioral Sciences Research Center, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IR Iran

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article Type: Research Article

Article History: Received: 26 Aug 2013 Revised: 3 Oct 2013 Accepted: 28 Oct 2013

Keywords: Coronary Artery Disease Sleep Sexual Behavior Psychological Distress

#### ABSTRACT

Background: Comorbidity is prevalent among patients with Ischemic Heart Disease (IHD) and may influence patients' subjective and objective domains of well-being. **Objectives:** We aimed to investigate the associations between comorbidity and different measures of well-being (i.e. health related quality of life, psychological distress, sleep quality, and dyadic adjustment) among patients with IHD. Methods: In this cross-sectional study, 796 outpatients with documented IHD were enrolled from an outpatient cardiology clinic in 2006. Comorbidity (Ifudu index), quality

of life (SF36), psychological distress (Hospital Anxiety Depression Scale; HADS), sleep quality (Pittsburg Sleep Quality Index; PSQI), and dyadic adjustment quality (Revised Dyadic Adjustment Scale; RDAS) were measured. Associations between comorbidity and different measures of well-being were determined. **Results:** Significant correlations were found between comorbidity score and all measures

of well-being. Comorbidity score was correlated with physical quality of life (r = -0.471, P < 0.001), mental quality of life (r = -0.447, P < 0.001), psychological distress (r = 0.344, P < 0.001), sleep quality (r = 0.358, *P* < 0.001), and dyadic adjustment (r = -0.201, *P* < 0.001). Conclusions: This study showed a consistent pattern of associations between somatic comorbidities and multiple aspects of well-being among patients with IHD. Findings may increase cardiologists' interest to identify and treat somatic conditions among IHD patients.

► Implication for health policy/practice/research/medical education:

Presented data may increase cardiologists' interest to identify and treat somatic conditions among IHD patients.

#### 1. Background

Comorbidity refers to the coexistence of other chronic diseases in patients with an index disease (1). As most patients with chronic conditions also suffer from other health conditions, assessment of comorbidity plays an essential role in the care of patients with chronic conditions (2). Measurement of comorbidities and their link to other health outcomes is an essential part of assessment of patients with chronic medical conditions (3, 4).

Most but not all (5, 6) studies have suggested that medical

E-mail: assari@umich.edu

comorbidity affects health and well-being (7-11), functional status, health related quality of life (HRQoL), disability (12), and mortality (13) of patients with chronic conditions. Some researchers believe that psychiatric comorbidities, but not medical comorbidities, are associated with well-being of patients with chronic medical conditions. In one study, for instance, psychiatric but not medical comorbidities were associated with lost productivity (14).

To date, most of our knowledge about the link between comorbidity and health and well-being (15-25) is limited to certain measures of health, and very few studies have ever assessed the association between somatic comorbidities and other aspects of patients' well-being, such as sleep quality and dyadic relationship (26).

Ischemic Heart Disease (IHD) is one of the leading causes

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Shervin Assari, Department of Health Behavior and Health Education, School of Public Health, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, Washington Heights 1415, Michigan, USA, P.O. Box: 48109-2029. Tel: +1-7347649494, Fax: 1-7347637379,

of death in the world (23). Among patients with IHD, comorbid health conditions profoundly affect morbidity (8) and mortality (1). Few studies, however, have focused on the association between somatic comorbidities and multiple aspects of morbidity among patients with IHD.

In the current study we aimed to investigate the associations between comorbidity and different aspects of morbidity among patients with IHD.

# 2. Patients and Methods

## 2.1. Study Design and Patients

This cross-sectional study was a part of a greater research project, which was conducted in 2006 at the outpatient clinic of the Baqiyatallah hospital, Tehran. Other reports have been published from the main study (27-30).

The current study was conducted on 796 consecutive patients with coronary artery disease (defined by a 70% stenosis of at least 1 major coronary artery, confirmed by angiography). Written informed consent was obtained from all patients. The Ethics Committee of Baqiyatallah University approved the study protocol.

The demographic data checklist included age, sex, marital status, family income, educational level, living place, and body mass index. HRQoL, psychological status, sleep quality, and dyadic adjustment quality were assessed, as well.

# 2.2. Comorbidity

Comorbidity was measured using the modified version of the Ifudu comorbidity index. Ifudu comorbidity index is a numerical self-reporting measure originally designed to evaluate comorbidities among the chronic renal patients. It evaluates the presence of 13 chronic illnesses. Each comorbid condition is scored from 0 to 3 representing the absence of the disease and the presence of severe disease, respectively. The total comorbidity score is then calculated by summing up the scores for all of the 13 organ systems. The total score ranges from 0 to 39, with a higher score being indicative of a greater comorbidity (31).

In our modified version, we omitted the item related to IHD since it was the index disease in our study. We also omitted the item related to psychiatric disorders to cover somatic comorbidities only. The modified Ifudu index in this study consisted of 11 items and was scored from 1 to 33. Although this measure was originally developed for patients with chronic kidney disease, it has been repeatedly used in a wide range of chronic conditions, such as respiratory disease, blood disease, rheumatologic conditions, and IHD (32-35).

# 2.3. Psychological Distress

Psychological distress was assessed using the Hospital Anxiety Depression Scale (36), a translated version that had been previously validated for the Iranian population (37). The HADS contains 14 items on the symptoms of anxiety and depression. Each item is scored from 0 to 3, giving the maximum score of 42 for psychological distress (38-43).

# 2.4. Sleep Quality

Sleep quality over the month before the study was determined using the Pittsburg Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), which includes 7 items. The total score of this scale ranges from 0 to 21, with higher scores indicating worse sleep quality (44-47).

## 2.5. Dyadic Adjustment

All the study subjects completed a previously translated version (27, 48, 49) of the Revised Dyadic Adjustment Scale (RDAS) (50, 51). The RDAS consists of 14 items measuring the couples' agreement on decisions and appropriate behavior, dyadic satisfaction, and dyadic cohesion. RDAS scores range from 0 to 69, with a low score being indicative of distress in dyadic adjustment. The scale provides a total score and 4 sub-scores including dyadic consensus (measures the degree to which the couple agrees on matters of importance to the relationship), affective expression (measures the degree of demonstrations of affection and sexual relationships), dyadic satisfaction (measures the degree to which the couple is satisfied with their relationship), and dyadic cohesion (measures the degree of closeness and shared activities experienced by the couple) (50, 51). The Cronbach's alpha was 0.802, 0.683, 0.779, 0.827, and 0.836 for the total score, dyadic consensus, affective expression, dyadic satisfaction, and dyadic cohesion, respectively.

# 2.6. HRQOL

The 36-item Medical Outcome Study Short Form Health Survey (SF-36) was used to measure the HRQOL (52). The SF-36 is a generic measure of HRQOL that contains eight subscales. These scales represent physical functioning, social functioning, role limitations due to physical health problems, role limitations due to emotional problems, mental health, vitality, bodily pain, and general health perceptions.

The subscale scores are transformed to a 0 - 100 scale, with higher scores indicating higher HRQOL. Physical and mental components of the eight scales were combined into a Physical Composite Score (PCS) and a Mental Composite Score (MCS) (53).

The Persian version of the SF-36 was used to ensure the scale's face validity and maximize its acceptability among the Iranian participants (7, 32, 54-56). In this study, we used the total SF-36 score as the dependent variable; subscales and composite scores were not included. The SF-36 questionnaires were completed by the patients. Assistance for completion of the questionnaires was needed in a few cases.

# 2.7. Statistical Analysis

In this study, statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS-13 (SPSS Inc. IL) statistical software package. First, we checked the normal distribution of the variables using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Descriptive statistics were utilized for somatic comorbidities. In addition, the difference between male and female patients regarding comorbidity was assessed using independent samples ttest. In addition, the correlation between the comorbidity score and age, dyadic relationship quality, psychological factor, total PSQI score, total SF36 score and subscales was evaluated using a partial correlation test (age and gender controlled).

# 3. Results

## 3.1. Patients

The present study was conducted on 525 male (66.0%) and 271 female (34.0%) patients. The mean age of the subjects was  $57.78 \pm 11.52$  years (range, 30 to 83).

#### 3.2. Comorbidity

Mean (SD) comorbidity score was  $2.98 \pm 2.08$ . The most prevalent chronic conditions were lower back pain, spine or joint disorders (n = 481, 60.4%), non- ischemic heart disease (n = 363, 45.6%), musculoskeletal disorders (n = 295, 37.1%), and neurological disorders (n = 282, 35.4%) (Table 1).

Table 1. Frequency of Various Different Comorbid Conditions			
among the 796 Iranian Patients with Documented Ischemic			
Heart Disease			

Characteristics      N (%)        Low back pain, spine or joint disorders      315 (39.6)        No      315 (39.6)        Yes      481 (60.4)        Non-ischemic heart disease      433 (54.4)        Yes      363 (45.6)        Musculoskeletal disorders      363 (45.6)        Mo      501 (62.9)        Yes      295 (37.1)        Neurological disease      282 (35.4)        Hematological disease      282 (35.4)        Hematological disease      190 (23.9)        Chronic respiratory disease      190 (23.9)
No  315 (39.6)    Yes  481 (60.4)    Non-ischemic heart disease
Yes    481 (60.4)      Non-ischemic heart disease    100      No    433 (54.4)      Yes    363 (45.6)      Musculoskeletal disorders    100      No    501 (62.9)      Yes    295 (37.1)      Neurological disease    295 (37.1)      No    514 (64.6)      Yes    282 (35.4)      Hematological disease    100 (23.9)      No    606 (76.1)      Yes    190 (23.9)      Chronic respiratory disease    100
Non-ischemic heart disease      No    433 (54.4)      Yes    363 (45.6)      Musculoskeletal disorders    100 (62.9)      Yes    295 (37.1)      Neurological disease    295 (37.1)      No    514 (64.6)      Yes    282 (35.4)      Hematological disease    100 (23.9)      No    606 (76.1)      Yes    190 (23.9)      Chronic respiratory disease    100 (23.9)
No  433 (54.4)    Yes  363 (45.6)    Musculoskeletal disorders
Yes    363 (45.6)      Musculoskeletal disorders    501 (62.9)      Yes    295 (37.1)      Neurological disease    295 (37.1)      No    514 (64.6)      Yes    282 (35.4)      Hematological disease    282 (35.4)      No    606 (76.1)      Yes    190 (23.9)      Chronic respiratory disease    190 (23.9)
Musculoskeletal disorders      No    501 (62.9)      Yes    295 (37.1)      Neurological disease    282 (37.1)      No    514 (64.6)      Yes    282 (35.4)      Hematological disease    282 (35.4)      No    606 (76.1)      Yes    190 (23.9)      Chronic respiratory disease    190 (23.9)
No    501 (62.9)      Yes    295 (37.1)      Neurological disease    514 (64.6)      Yes    282 (35.4)      Hematological disease    282 (35.4)      No    606 (76.1)      Yes    190 (23.9)      Chronic respiratory disease    510 (23.9)
Yes    295 (37.1)      Neurological disease    514 (64.6)      Yes    282 (35.4)      Hematological disease    282 (35.4)      No    606 (76.1)      Yes    190 (23.9)      Chronic respiratory disease    514 (64.6)
Neurological disease        No      514 (64.6)        Yes      282 (35.4)        Hematological disease         No      606 (76.1)        Yes      190 (23.9)        Chronic respiratory disease
No  514 (64.6)    Yes  282 (35.4)    Hematological disease  506 (76.1)    No  606 (76.1)    Yes  190 (23.9)    Chronic respiratory disease  500 (76.1)
Yes  282 (35.4)    Hematological disease  100 (23.9)    No  606 (76.1)    Yes  190 (23.9)    Chronic respiratory disease  100 (23.9)
Hematological diseaseNo606 (76.1)Yes190 (23.9)Chronic respiratory disease
No      606 (76.1)        Yes      190 (23.9)        Chronic respiratory disease
Yes 190 (23.9) Chronic respiratory disease
Chronic respiratory disease
· ·
No 620 (77.9)
Yes 176 (22.1)
Visual impairment
No 623 (78.3)
Yes 173 (21.7)
Infections
No 644 (80.9)
Yes 152 (19.1)
Urology diseases
No 647 (81.3)
Yes 149 (18.7)
Liver, pancreas or biliary disease
No 701 (88.1)
Yes 95 (11.9)
Peripheral vascular
No 778 (97.7)
Yes 18 (2.3)

#### 3.3. Comorbidity and Socio-Demographic Variables

The study results revealed a significant and positive correlation between the comorbidity score and age (r = 0.146, P < 0.001). Moreover, the results of the T-test showed a significantly higher comorbidity score among the female patients ( $4.0 \pm 2.0$ ,  $2.42 \pm 1.87$ , P < 0.001), married patients ( $3.61 \pm 2.23$ ,  $2.87 \pm 2.0$ , P < 0.001), the patients with lower than diploma education levels ( $3.35 \pm 2.0$ ,  $2.24 \pm 1.82$ , P < 0.001), and those with lower than 400 US\$ monthly income ( $3.37 \pm 1.99$ ,  $2.79 \pm 2.1$ , P < 0.001). However, comorbidity

score did not show significant associations with living in urban area (P = 0.358) and BMI level (P = 0.138) (Table2).

#### 3.4. Comorbidity and Health Related Quality of Life

Based on partial correlation, significant negative correlations were found between the comorbidity score and SF36 total score (P < 0.001), physical function (P < 0.001), role limitations due to physical problems (P < 0.001), bodily pain (P < 0.001), social function (P = 0.004), general mental health (P < 0.001), role limitations due to emotional problems (P < 0.001), vitality (P < 0.001), general health perceptions (P < 0.001), PCS (P < 0.001), and MCS (P < 0.001).

#### 3.5. Comorbidity and Psychological Distress

Partial correlation showed a significant positive correlation between comorbidity score and psychological distress (HADS total score) (P < 0.001) (Table 3).

#### 3.6. Comorbidity and Sleep Quality

Based on partial correlation, a significant positive correlation was found between the comorbidity score and PSQI total score (P < 0.001).

#### 3.7. Comorbidity and Dyadic Adjustment

Partial correlation revealed significant negative correlations between the comorbidity score and RDAS total score (P < 0.001), RDAS consensus score (P = 0.009), RDAS dyadic expression score (P = 0.028), RDAS dyadic satisfaction score (P < 0.001), and RDAS dyadic cohesion score (P = 0.008).

## 4. Discussion

The present study confirmed the associations between somatic comorbidity and multiple aspects of well-being of the patients with IHD. Based on our findings, presence of somatic comorbidity is associated with poor quality of life, sleep quality, dyadic adjustment, and high psychological distress.

An association between higher somatic comorbid diseases and poor HRQoL was expected. This finding is in line with studies by Baumeister et al. (26) and Gijsen et al. (57) which suggested that comorbidity was associated with reduced function and quality of life among patients with a somatic index disease.

Our study also showed an association between comorbidity and poorer sleep quality, psychological wellbeing, and dyadic quality. The consistent associations between comorbidities and multiple aspects of well-being of the patients reflect the role of other chronic medical conditions on morbidity of IHD patients (26). The result of this study advocates for screening, diagnosis and treatment of other chronic medical conditions among patients with IHD.

Comorbid conditions are the rule rather than the exception, and affect almost all the health outcomes. As multiple aspects of well-being are important for the patients, an increase in attention to patients' somatic comorbidities may improve patients' well-being (26). Hence, physicians and other healthcare providers who wish to improve the subjective well-being of IHD patients may need to target somatic comorbidities in their management plan.

Typically, physicians and other healthcare providers mostly

Table 2. The Association between the Socio-Economic Characteristics and Comorbidity among 796 Patients with Ischemic Heart Disease				
Gender				
Male	525 (66.0)	$2.42 \pm 1.87$	< 0.001 ª	
Female	271 (34.0)	$4.0 \pm 2.0$		
Marital Status				
Married	680 (85.4)	$2.87 \pm 2.0$	< 0.001 ª	
Single, divorced, widow	116 (14.6)	$3.61 \pm 2.23$		
Education				
Not completed high school	530 (66.6)	$3.35 \pm 2.0$	< 0.001 ª	
Completed high school	266 (33.4)	$2.24 \pm 1.82$		
Living place				
Urban	727 (91.3)	$2.96 \pm 2.1$	0.358 ª	
Suburban	69 (8.7)	$3.2 \pm 1.88$		
Family income				
Lover than 200\$	260 (32.7)	$2.79 \pm 2.1$	< 0.001 °	
Upper than 200\$	536 (67.3)	3.37 ± 1.99		
Body Mass Index				
≤ 25	300 (37.7)	$2.78 \pm 1.96$	0.138 <sup>b</sup>	
25 - 30	263 (36.8)	$3.0 \pm 2.18$		
≥ 30	184 (23.1)	3.1 ± 2.0		

Abbreviations: SD, Standard Deviation;

<sup>a</sup> Independent samples test; <sup>b</sup>One-way ANOVA

	r	<i>P</i> value
Quality of life (SF-36)		
Physical function	-0.378	0.001
Role limitations	-0.308	0.001
Bodily pain	-0.353	0.001
Social function	-0.102	0.004
General mental health	-0.388	0.001
Role limitations due to emotional problem	-0.32	0.001
Vitality energy or fatigue	-0.383	0.001
General health perceptions	-0.405	0.001
Physical composite score	-0.471	0.001
Mental composite score	-0.447	0.001
Total score	-0.488	0.001
Sleep quality (PSQI)		
Гotal score	0.358	0.001
Dyadic relationship (RDAS)		
Fotal score	-0.201	< 0.001
Dyadic consensus	-0.103	0.009
Dyadic expression	-0.088	0.028
Dyadic satisfaction	-0.261	0.001
Dyadic cohesion	-0.105	0.008
Psychological distress (HADS)		
Total score	0.344	0.001

Abbreviations: RDAS, Revised Dyadic Adjustment Scale; PSQI, Pittsburg Sleep Quality Index; HADS, Hospital Anxiety Depression Scale Results are based on partial correlation tests. Age and gender have been controlled.

focus on the index disease, considering the index disease as the major source of morbidity. However, any medical decision for the patient is taken in the presence of other, often uncontrollable, medical conditions. Unfortunately, less has been discussed in the literature about the importance of incorporating medical comorbidities in decision making and care for chronic patients (58, 59). This is partly because comorbidity is mostly conceptualized as a confounder. However, medical comorbidities are of utmost importance in making decisions on treatment choices (58-60). Comorbidity influences the prognosis of index disease (2, 61-65).

We must acknowledge the possible limitations to the generalizability of the findings of the current study. We sampled our patients from a single center (large teaching hospitals) and, consequently, our results may not apply to other centers, especially with different conditions. In addition, comorbidity was measured using self-reported data. Thus, further researches should be conducted in this area. This study, however, covered a wide range of well-being measures. It is perhaps surprising that only a modest amount of research has been devoted to describing the prevalence and impact of comorbidity among patients with IHD (66, 67).

To conclude, this study provided evidence regarding modest associations between comorbidity and multiple aspects of well-being of the patients with IHD. The current findings are hoped to increase cardiologists' interest to diagnose and treat other medical conditions that IHD patients may have.

## Acknowledgments

There is no acknowledgement.

## **Authors' Contribution**

Shervin Assari and Maryam Moghani Lankarani: Study design, drafting the manuscript, analysis of data; Khodabakhsh Ahmadi: Collecting data. All the authors read and approved the final draft.

# **Financial Disclosure**

There is no conflict of interest.

# **Funding/Support**

This study was financially supported by Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences.

#### References

- 1 Feinstein AR. The pre-therapeutic classification of co-morbidity in chronic disease. *Journal of Chronic Diseases*. 1970;**23**(7):455-68.
- 2 Hlatky MA. Comorbidity andoutcome in patientswith coronary artery disease. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2004;**43**(4):583-4.
- 3 cleves MA, Sanchez N, Draheim M. Evaluation of two competing methods for calculating Charlson's comorbidity index when analyzing short-term mortality using administrative data. *Journal* of Clinical Epidemiology. 1997;**50**(8):903-8.
- 4 Stewart S. Refractory to medical treatment but not to nursing care: Can we do more for patients with chronic angina pectoris? *European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing*. 2003;**2**(3):169-70.
- 5 van Manen JG. Risk of depression in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and its determinants. *Thorax*. 2002;57(5):412-6.
- 6 Zwibel HL, Wilner AN. Comorbidities and quality of life in patients with multiple sclerosis.60th Annual Meeting of the American Academy of Neurology. Available from: http://www. medscape.org/viewarticle/575291.
- 7 Azarkeivan A, Hajibeigi B, Alavian SM, Lankarani MM, Assari S. Associates of poor physical and mental health-related quality of life in beta thalassemia-major/intermedia. *J Res Med Sci.* 2009;**14**(6):349-55.
- 8 Kazemi-Saleh D, Pishgou B, Farrokhi F, Assari S, Fotros A, Naseri H. Gender Impact on the Correlation between Sexuality and Marital Relation Quality in Patients with Coronary Artery Disease. *Journal* of Sexual Medicine. 2008;5(9):2100-6.
- 9 Khedmat H, Karami GR, Pourfarziani V, Assari S, Rezailashkajani M, Naghizadeh MM. A logistic regression model for predicting health-related quality of life in kidney transplant recipients. *Transplant Proc.* 2007;**39**(4):917-22.
- 10 Malekahmadi MR, Rahimzadeh S, Dezfuli Nejad ML, Lankarani MM, Einollahi B, Assari S. Importance of socioeconomic, clinical, and psychological factors on health-related quality of life in adolescents after kidney transplant. *Exp Clin Transplant*. 2011;9(1):50-5.
- 11 Noohi S, Khaghani-Zadeh M, Javadipour M, Assari S, Najafi M, Ebrahiminia M, et al. Anxiety and depression are correlated with higher morbidity after kidney transplantation. *Transplant Proc.* 2007;**39**(4):1074-8.
- 12 Deyo RA, Cherkin DC, Ciol MA. Adapting a clinical comorbidity index for use with ICD-9-CM administrative databases. J Clin Epidemiol. 1992;45(6):613-9.

- 13 van den Akker M, Buntinx F, Knottnerus JA. Comorbidity or multimorbidity: what's in a name? A review of literature. *European Journal of General Practice*. 1996;**2**(2):65-70.
- 14 Sarsour K, Kalsekar A, Swindle R, Foley K, Walsh JK. The association between insomnia severity and healthcare and productivity costs in a health plan sample. *Sleep.* 2011;34(4):443-50.
- 15 Chan DS, Chau JP, Chang AM. Acute coronary syndromes: cardiac rehabilitation programmes and quality of life. *J Adv Nurs*. 2005;**49**(6):591-9.
- 16 Gjeilo KH, Wahba A, Klepstad P, Lydersen S, Stenseth R. Health-related quality of life three years after coronary surgery: a comparison with the general population. *Scand Cardiovasc J.* 2006;**40**(1):29-36.
- 17 Pirraglia PA, Peterson JC, Williams-Russo P, Charlson ME. Assessment of Decline in Health-Related Quality of Life among Angina-Free Patients Undergoing Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery. *Cardiology*. 2003;99(3):115-20.
- 18 Echteld MA, van Elderen T, van der Kamp LJ. Modeling predictors of quality of life after coronary angioplasty. *Ann Behav Med.* 2003;**26**(1):49-60.
- 19 Kennedy MD, Haykowsky M, Daub B, Van Lohuizen K, Knapik G, Black B. Effects of a comprehensive cardiac rehabilitation program on quality of life and exercise tolerance in women: A retrospective analysis. *Curr Control Trials Cardiovasc Med.* 2003;4(1):1.
- 20 Sherman AM, Shumaker SA, Kancler C, Zheng B, Reboussin DM, Legault C, et al. Baseline health-related quality of life in postmenopausal women with coronary heart disease: the Estrogen Replacement and Atherosclerosis (ERA) trial. J Womens Health (Larchmt). 2003;12(4):351-62.
- 21 Karlsson I, Berglin E, Larsson PA. Sense of coherence: quality of life before and after coronary artery bypass surgery--a longitudinal study. *J Adv Nurs*. 2000;**31**(6):1383-92.
- 22 Rumsfeld JS, Ho PM, Magid DJ, McCarthy M, Shroyer AL, MaWhinney S, *et al.* Predictors of health-related quality of life after coronary artery bypass surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2004;77(5):1508-13.
- 23 2003 heart and stroke statistical update. [updated 2002; cited];2002Available from:

http://www.medscape.com/viewarticle/787216.

- 24 Powell H, Lim LLY, Heller RF. Accuracy of administrative data to assess comorbidity in patients with heart disease. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*. 2001;54(7):687-93.
- 25 Westin L, Nilstun T, Carlsson R, Erhardt L. Patients with ischemic heart disease: quality of life predicts long-term mortality. *Scand Cardiovasc J*. 2005;**39**(1-2):50-4.
- 26 Baumeister H, Balke K, Harter M. Psychiatric and somatic comorbidities are negatively associated with quality of life in physically ill patients. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2005;**58**(11):1090-100.
- 27 Fathi-Ashtiani A, Karami GR, Einollahi B, Assari S, Aghanasiri F, Najafi M, et al. Marital quality in kidney transplant recipients: easy to predict, hard to neglect. *Transplant Proc.* 2007;**39**(4):1085-7.
- 28 Kazemi-Saleh D, Pishgoo B, Farrokhi F, Fotros A, Assari S. Sexual function and psychological status among males and females with ischemic heart disease. *J Sex Med.* 2008;5(10):2330-7.
- 29 Kazemi-Saleh D, Pishgou B, Assari S, Tavallaii SA. Fear of sexual intercourse in patients with coronary artery disease: a pilot study of associated morbidity. J Sex Med. 2007;4(6):1619-25.
- 30 Tavallaii SA, Fathi-Ashtiani A, Nasiri M, Assari S, Maleki P, Einollahi B. Correlation between sexual function and postrenal transplant quality of life: does gender matter? J Sex Med. 2007;4(6):1610-8.
- 31 Ifudu O, Paul HR, Homel P, Friedman EA. Predictive Value of Functional Status for Mortality in Patients on Maintenance Hemodialysis. *American Journal of Nephrology*. 1998;18(2):109-16.
- 32 Aslani J, Mirzamani SM, AzizAbadi-Farahani M, Moghani Lankarani M, Assari S. Health-related quality of life in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: are disease-specific and generic quality of life measures correlated. *Tanaffos*. 2008;7(2):28-35.
- 33 RezaSoroush M, Vishteh HR, Mousavi B. Marital relationship and its associated factors in veterans exposed to high dose chemical warfare agents. *Journal of Family and Reproductive Health*. 2008;2(2).
- 34 Alishiri GH, Bayat N, Fathi Ashtiani A, Tavallaii SA, Assari S, Moharamzad Y. Logistic regression models for predicting physical and mental health-related quality of life in rheumatoid arthritis patients. *Modern Rheumatology*. 2008;**18**(6):601-8.

- 35 Ghanei M, Aslani J, AzizAbadi-Farahani M, Assari S, Saadat S. Logistic regression model to predict chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbation. ARCHIVES OF MEDICAL SCIENCE. 2007;3(4):360.
- 36 Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*. 1983;**67**(6):361-70.
- 37 Montazeri A, Vahdaninia M, Ebrahimi M, Jarvandi S. The hospital anxiety and depression scale (HADS): translation and valida- tion study of the Iranian version. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*. 2003;1(1):14.
- 38 Janssens AC, van Doorn PA, de Boer JB, Kalkers NF, van der Meche FG, Passchier J, et al. Anxiety and depression influence the relation between disability status and quality of life in multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler.* 2003;9(4):397-403.
- 39 Kollner V, Einsle F, Schade I, Maulhardt T, Gulielmos V, Joraschky P. [The influence of anxiety, depression and post traumatic stress disorder on quality of life after thoracic organ transplantation]. Z Psychosom Med Psychother. 2003;49(3):262-74.
- 40 Mi Rye Suh RN, Hyuk Jung H, Bae Kim S, Sik Park J, Seok Yang W. Effects of Regular Exercise on Anxiety, Depression, and Quality of Life in Maintenance Hemodialysis Patients. *Renal Failure*. 2002;**24**(3):337-45.
- 41 Bayat N, Alishiri GH, Salimzadeh A, Izadi M, Saleh DK, Lankarani MM, et al. Symptoms of anxiety and depression: A comparison among patients with different chronic conditions. J Res Med Sci. 2011;16(11):1441-7.
- 42 Ghoddusi K, Aslani J, Aziz-Abadi-Farahani M, Assari S, Tavallaii SA. Association of Depression with Body Mass Index in Patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. *Tanaffos*. 2007;6(3):47-53.
- 43 Hajibeigi B, Azarkeyvan A, Alavian SM, Lankarani MM, Assari S. Anxiety and depression affects life and sleep quality in adults with beta-thalassemia. *Indian Journal of Hematology and Blood Transfusion*. 2009;**25**(2):59-65.
- 44 Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ. The Pittsburgh sleep quality index: A new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry Research*. 1989;28(2):193-213.
- 45 Kachuee H, Ameli J, Taheri S, Assari S, Riahipour F, Khedmat H, et al. Sleep Quality and Its Correlates in Renal Transplant Patients. *Transplantation Proceedings*. 2007;**39**(4):1095-7.
- 46 Ameli J, Kachuee H, Assari S, Rasta VR, Khoddami-Vishte HR, Einollahi B, et al. Does Etiology of End-Stage Renal Disease Affect Sleep Quality in Kidney Transplant Recipients? *Transplantation Proceedings*. 2007;**39**(4):1091-4.
- 47 Saleh DK, Nouhi S, Zandi H, Lankarani MM, Assari S, Pishgou B. The quality of sleep in coronary artery disease patients with and without anxiety and depressive symptoms. *Indian Heart J.* 2008;**60**(4):309-12.
- 48 Assari S, Moghani Lankarani M, Tavallaii SA. Revised dyadic adjustment scale as a reliable tool for assessment of quality of marital relationship in patients on long-term hemodialysis. *Iran J Kidney Dis.* 2009;3(4):242-5.
- 49 Tavallaii SA, Nemati E, Khoddami Vishteh HR, Azizabadi Farahani M, Moghani Lankarani M, Assari S. Marital adjustment in patients on long-term hemodialysis: a case-control study. *Iran J Kidney Dis.* 2009;3(3):156-61.
- 50 Busby DM, Christensen C, Crane DR, Larson JH. A Revision of the

Dyadic Adjustment Scale for Use with Distressed and Nondistressed Couples: Construct Hierarchy and Multidimensional Scales. *Journal* of Marital and Family Therapy. 1995;**21**(3):289-308.

- 51 Danesh E. The efficacy of Islamic counseling on improving marital adjustment levels of incompatible couples."[updated 2007; cited];2007. Available from: http://www.iranpa.org/pdf/054.pdf.
- 52 Ware Jr JE, Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36): I. Conceptual framework and item selection. *Medical care*. 1992:473-83.
- 53 Ware JE, Kosinski M, Keller SD. SF-36 physical and mental summary scales: a user's manual. *Boston, MA: The Health Institute, New England Medical Center.* 1994.
- 54 Alishiri GH, Bayat N, Salimzadeh A, Salari A, Hosseini SM, Rahimzadeh S, et al. Health-related quality of life and disease activity in rheumatoid arthritis. J Res Med Sci. 2011;16(7):897-903.
- 55 Assari S, Lankarani MM, Montazeri A, Soroush MR, Mousavi B. Are generic and disease-specific health related quality of life correlated? The case of chronic lung disease due to sulfur mustard. *J Res Med Sci.* 2009;14(5):285-90.
- 56 Montazeri A, Goshtasebi A, Vahdaninia M, Gandek B. The Short Form Health Survey (SF-36): Translation and validation study of the Iranian version. *Quality of Life Research*. 2005;14(3):875-82.
- 57 Gijsen R, Hoeymans N, Schellevis FG, Ruwaard D, Satariano WA, van den Bos GAM. Causes and consequences of comorbidity. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*. 2001;**54**(7):661-74.
- 58 Fryback DG, Lawrence WF. Dollars May Not Buy as Many QALYs as We Think:: A Problem with Defining Quality-of-life Adjustments. *Medical Decision Making*. 1997;17(3):276-84.
- 59 Harris RA, Nease RF. The importance of patient preferences for comorbidities in cost-effectiveness analyses. *Journal of Health Economics*. 1997;16(1):113-9.
- 60 Bleichrodt H, Crainich D, Eeckhoudt L. The effect of comorbidities on treatment decisions. *Journal of Health Economics*. 2003;**22**(5):805-20.
- 61 Charlson ME, MacKenzie CR, Gold JP, Ales KL, Topkins M, Shires GT. Risk for postoperative congestive heart failure. *Surg Gynecol Obstet*. 1991;**172**(2):95-104.
- 62 Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: Development and validation. *Journal of Chronic Diseases*. 1987;40(5):373-83.
- 63 Greenfield S. Flaws in Mortality Data The Hazards of Ignoring Comorbid Disease. JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association. 1988;260(15):2253.
- 64 Kaplan MH, Feinstein AR. The importance of classifying initial co-morbidity in evaluating the outcome of diabetes mellitus. *Journal of Chronic Diseases*. 1974;**27**(7-8):387-404.
- 65 Knaus W, Wagner D, Lynn J. Short-term mortality predictions for critically ill hospitalized adults: science and ethics. *Science*. 1991;**254**(5030):389-94.
- 66 Chen J, Radford MJ, Wang Y, Krumholz HM. Care and outcomes of elderly patients with acute myocardial infarction by physician specialty: the effects of comorbidity and functional limitations. *The American journal of medicine*. 2000;**108**(6):460-9.
- 67 Guralnik JM. Assessing the impact of comorbidity in the older population. *Annals of epidemiology*. 1996;6(5):376-80.