Evaluation of Incidental Findings in Brain CT Scans of Mild Head Trauma Patients (GCS: Thirteen to Fifteen)

Sanei Taheri M¹, Hemadi H¹, Sajadi Nasab M², Jalali AH³, Eftekharpour D⁴

Abstract

Background: To determine the prevalence of incidental findings on brain CT scan in mild head trauma patients.

Methods: From November 2005 to April 2006, we evaluated 732 CT Scans of cases with mild head trauma (Glasgow Coma Scale Score of thirteen, fourteen, and fifteen), whom referred to our university affiliated hospital. In this study, we evaluated incidental findings on brain CT of our patients, as well as size of the cistern magna.

Results: Five hundred (68.3%) of our patients were male and 232 (31.7%) were female. The mean age of our cases were 27.4 ± 19.2 (one month to 89 years old).Incidental findings were found on 22 cases (3.1%).Among these, there were five tumors (0.7%), eight arachnoids cysts (1.1%), and five bones lesions (0.7%). Large cisterna magna (>10 cm³) was seen in four cases. Incidental findings in males were seen in ten cases (45%) and in females were seen in 12 cases (55%) (P=0.019). The mean age of cases with incidental findings were 37.2 ± 20.6 years and in cases without incidental findings were 27.1 ± 19.1 years (P=0.011).

Conclusion: In this study we found that arachnoid cyst was the most common incidental finding, and brain tumor and bone lesions were next common ones.

Keywords: Incidental Findings, Computerized Tomography, Head Injury

- Cancer Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- 2. Radiology Department, Loghman Hakim Hospital, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- 3. Advanced Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology Research Center (ADIR), Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran 4. Be'sat Hospital, Army University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Corresponding Author: Morteza Sanei Taheri MD Associate Professor of Radiology Tel: (#98)2155411411 Email:saneim@yahoo.com

Received: 14 Oct. 2009 Accepted: 1 Dec. 2009 IJCP 2010; 1: 32-35

Introduction

Advances in neurons imaging, Computed Tomography (CT) and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), have increasingly led to the identification of patients with incidental brain lesions.

The evaluation of patients with head trauma frequently includes CT scan that can revealnon-injury related lesions. These incidental findings have been reported in different clinical settings [1-3]. For example; pituitary tumors as an incidental finding were described by Aaron, Feldkamp, Moltich, Nishizawa, Tomita, and their co-workers in different articles [4-8].

Nakamura et al, in their study on 47 asymptomatic patients, were evaluated the natural history of meningiomas as incidental finding [9]. Harrington and his colleagues in their study described the significance of the incidental finding of basal ganglia calcification on computed tomography in 42 of 7000 patients who underwent brain CT scan [10]. The largest study about brain incidental findings, conducted by Eskandary and et al, in Iran [11].

These incidental findings show that when an imaging for any indication is reviewed, whole image should be evaluated carefully besides to the obvious pathological findings. In this study we used mild head trauma patients (GCS: thirteen to fifteen) for the evaluation of brain incidental findings.

We aimed to evaluate the incidental findings that detected on brain CT scan of mild head trauma patients.

Materials and Methods

From November 2005 to April 2006, we prospectively evaluated 732 CT scans of cases with mild head trauma (Glasgow Coma Scale score of thirteen, fourteen, and fifteen), who referred to our university affiliated hospital.

All brain CT scans were performed on spiral CT scanner (Shimatsu 7800/Japan) during the first 24 hours after trauma.

The slice thickness of films was 5 mm in posterior fossa and 10mm in supratentorial from the base of skull through the vertex.

The CT scans were reported by two radiologists. In this study, we evaluated incidental findings on brain

Table 1. Incidental findings detected on CT scan

| No | Lesion | Age | Sex | Location | Size(mm) | others |
|----|-------------------|-----|--------|-----------------------------|-----------|--|
| 1 | Meningioma | 51 | Female | Left Pare Sellar | - | Hyper dense |
| 2 | Meningioma | 42 | Male | Right Temporal | - | Hyper dense |
| 3 | Meningioma | 74 | Male | Frontal | - | Hyper dense |
| 4 | Craniopharyngioma | 65 | Female | Supra Sellar | 20×50×60 | - |
| 5 | Macro-Adenoma | 65 | Female | Pituitary | - | Iso-dense |
| 6 | Arachnoids Cyst | 7 | Male | Temporal | 25×45×50 | Hypo dense |
| 7 | Arachnoids Cyst | 35 | Male | Temporal | 20×40×10 | Hypo dense |
| 8 | Arachnoids Cyst | 59 | Male | Sylvian Fissure | 30×40×20 | Hypo dense |
| 9 | Arachnoids Cyst | 38 | Female | Sylvian Fissure | 40×50×3.5 | Hypo dense |
| 10 | Arachnoids Cyst | 19 | Male | Temporal | - | Hypo dense |
| 11 | Arachnoids Cyst | 30 | Female | Temporal | 10×15×8 | Hypo dense |
| 12 | Arachnoids Cyst | 15 | Male | Temporal | - | Hypo dense |
| 13 | Arachnoids Cyst | 4 | Male | Pineal | - | Hypo dense-Induced obstructive hydrocephalus |
| 14 | Subdural Hygroma | 28 | Male | Frontal | - | - |
| 15 | Subdural Hygroma | 50 | Female | Frontal | - | - |
| 16 | Ossifying Fibroma | 15 | Female | Clinoid | - | - |
| 17 | Osteoma | 55 | Female | Ethmoid | - | - |
| 18 | Osteoma | 30 | Female | Ethmoid | - | - |
| 19 | Osteoma | 39 | Female | Ethmoid | - | - |
| 20 | Hyperosteosis | 65 | Female | Frontal | - | - |
| 21 | Dermid Cyst | 27 | Female | quadric geminate cistern | - | - |
| 22 | Agenesis | 17 | Male | corpus callosum | - | - |

CT scan of our patients, as well as size of the cisterna magna. Statistical analysis was performed by using SPSS version 11.5.Type I statistical error was set at 0.05.

Results

Five hundred (68.3%) of our patients were male and 232 (31.7%) were female.

The mean age of our cases were 27.4 ± 19.2 (between one month to 89 years).Incidental findings were found on 22 cases (3.1%). Among these, there were five tumors (0.7%) (Three meningiomas, one craniopharyngioma and one macro adenoma), eight arachnoids cyst (1.1%), and five bone lesions (0.7%) (Table1).We evaluated size of cisterna magna in 23 cases and large cisterna magna (>10 cm³) was seen in four cases (Table2).

Incidental findings in males were seen in ten cases (45%) and in females were seen in 12 cases (55%)

(P=0.019). The mean age of cases with incidental findings were 37.2 ± 20.6 years and in cases without incidental findings were 27.1 ± 19.1 years (P=0.011).

Discussion

Incidental findings vary from trivial lesions to major pathologic lesions. They have diagnosed in patients evaluated for trauma in the widespread use of CT scan. Many of these findings require early management or referral to specialty physicians.

Incidental findings in these cases might cause a significant challenge for trauma centers and an organized approach needed for successful management and follow-up.

In katzman and et al. study, about evaluation of incidental findings on brain MRI from 1000 asymptomatic volunteers (age range, 3-38 years; 54.6% male) found that the prevalence of brain tumors was 0.2% [12].

The prevalence of intracranial incidental findings in our series were significantly higher than one similar study that conducted in 3000 mild head trauma patients(p=0.0001).

Arachnoids cysts are more common in males and the incidence of these lesions is about 4% in USA [13, 14]. Although these could be detected in routine imaging studies of asymptomatic patients, they are often detected as an incidental finding. Reported frequencies of arachnoids cysts (as an incidental finding) have showed a relatively wide range from 0.3% to 1.4% [12, 15-19]. In our study this frequency was 1.1%, this could be partly due to different clinical settings of patients' selection. It seems that in studies that have been done on neurological patients, the frequencies of arachnoids cysts are higher.

In one study of 3000 cases with non-specific symptoms and normal neurological exam, Weisberg found 28 focal intracranial lesions; among these, 6 cases had intracranial neoplasm (0.2%) (These included 2 meningiomas, 3 gliomas, and 1 chromophobeadenoma)[2]. Other 22 incidental findings had different lesions mainly vascular related problems; including 8 infarctions, 3 intracerebral hematoma and 3 chronic subdural hematoma. Remaining 8 patients were 5 cases of focal atrophy, and 3 cases of focal calcification [2].

In our study, the incidence of intracranial tumor was 0.7% (5 in732) that is higher than previous study .Most incidentally found tumor lesions in different studies were pituitary adenomas [4-6]. In our study we detected only one macro adenoma. Eskandary et al haven't reported any adenoma in their study [11].This could be related to high incidence of these adenomas, compared too many other brain tumors.

Meningiomas most commonly diagnosed sixth and eighth decades of life; however patients with symptomatic meningiomas commonly are seen in the fifth decade of life [3, 9]. The average age of patients with meningiomas in our series was 55.6 years that was near to Eskandary cases (60 years) [11].

Agenesis of the corpus callosum is a rare congenital abnormality. In most patients, it is diagnosed within the first two years of life. In mild cases, symptoms such as seizures, repetitive speech or headaches might not appear for years [20]. We found one case of corpus callosum agenesia (age: 17 years) in our study, there was no other report about this anomaly as an incidental finding in literature.

Large cisterna magna occurs in approximately 0.3% to 0.7 % of all brain images [15, 16, 21].

This has been associated with infarction, inflammation and infection, particularly.

Cytomegalovirus and chromosomal abnormalities.

In absence of those above, a large cisterna magna is not clinically significant [22]. We measured the size of cisterna magna in our cases, so the incidence of large cisterna magna (>10 cm³) was 0.5% similar to the medical literature.

One limitation of this study was that it conducted at a single trauma centre, so generalization of results is limited. One important point in this article is the mean age of our cases is lower than normal population and we could not refer our results to general population. In this study we found that arachnoids cyst was the most common incidental finding, and brain tumor was next in frequency.

Acknowledgment

None

Conflicts of Interest

There were no conflicts of interest in this article.

Author's Contribution

S M developed the idea, reviewed CT scans and conducted the study. HH reviewed CT scans, SM collected the data and controlled the quality of study. JAH wrote the paper and ED analyzed the data.

Table 2. Age and sex distribution of large cisterna magna (>10cm³)

| Num | Age | Sex | |
|-----|-----|--------|--|
| 1 | 10 | Male | |
| 2 | 2 | Female | |
| 3 | 35 | Male | |
| 4 | 3 | Female | |

References

- 1. Rudick RA. Asymptomatic intracerebral hematoma as an incidental finding. Arch Neurol 1981; 38:396-7.
- 2. Weisberg LA. Incidental focal intracranial computed tomography findings. J Neurol Neurosurgery Psychiatry 1982; 45:715-18.
- 3. Wood MW, White RJ, Kernohan JW. One hundred intracranial meningiomas found Incidentally at necropsy. J Neuropathology Exp Neurol 1957; 16: 337-40.
- 4. Aaron DC, Howlett TA. Pituitary incidentalomas. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am 2000; 29:205-21.
- 5. Feldkamp J, Santen R, Harms E, Aulich A, Modder U, Scherbaum WA. Incidentally discovered pituitary lesions: high frequency of macro adenomas and hormone-secreting adenomas-results of prospective study. Clin Endocrinol 1999; 51:109-13.

- 6. Molitch ME. Evaluation and treatment of the patient with a pituitary incidentaloma. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1995; 80:3 -6.
- 7. Nishizawa S, Ohta S, Yokoyama T, Uemura K. Therapeutic strategy for incidentally found Pituitary tumors (pituitary incidentalomas). Neurosurgery 1998; 43(6):13 44-8; discussion 1348-50.
- 8. Tomita T, Gates E. Pituitary adenomas and granular cell tumors. Am J Clin Pathol 1999; 111:817 25.
- 9. Nakamura M, Roser F, Michel J, Jacobs C, Samii M. The natural history of incidental meningiomas. Neurosurgery 2003; 53:62-71.
- 10. Harrington MG, Macpherson P, McIntosh WB, Allam BF, Bone I. The significance of the incidental finding of basal ganglia calcification on computed tomography. J Neurol Neurosurgery Psychiatry 1981; 44:1168-70.
- 11. Eskandary H, Sabba M, Khajehpour F, Eskandari M. Incidental findings in brain computed tomography scans of 3000 head trauma patients. Surg Neurol 2005 Jun; 63(6):550-3; discussion 553.
- 12. Katzman GL, Dagher AP, Patronas NJ. Incidental findings on brain magnetic Resonance imaging from 1000 asymptomatic volunteers. JAMA. 1999 Jul 7; 282(1):36-9.
- 13. Wester K. Gender distribution and sidedness of middle fossa arachnoids cysts: a review of cases diagnosed with computed imaging. Neurosurgery 1992; 31: 940-4.

- 14. Flaherty AW. The Massachusetts General Hospital Handbook of Neurology 2000 Jan; 1:105.
- 15. Robinson RG. Congenital cysts of the brain: arachnoids malformation. Prog Neural Surg 1971; 4:133 74.
- 16. Shuangshoti S. Calcified congenital arachnoids cyst with heterotopic neuroglia in Wall. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1978; 41:88 94.
- 17. Kelly CB, Walter OA. Ct scan findings in mild head trauma a series of 2,000 patients. Arq Neuropsiquiatr 2002; 60(2-A):204-10.
- 18. Jennet B. Epidemiology of head injury. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1996; 60: 362-9.
- 19. Green JR, Waggener JD, Kriegsfeld BA. Classification and incidence of neoplasms of the central nervous system. Adv Neurol 1976; 15:51-5.
- 20. Marszal E, Jamroz E, Pilch J. Agenesis of corpus callosum: clinical description and etiology. J Child Neurol 2000 Jun; 15(6): 401-5.
- 21. Adam R, Greenberg JO. The mega cisterna magna. J Neurosurgery 1978; 48:190- 2.
- 22. Bernard JP, Moscoso G, Renier D, Ville Y. Cystic malformations of the posterior fossa. Prenat Diagn 2001; 21:1064–9.