Correlation Between the E-learning Attitude and Academic Achievement of Medical Students in Clinical Levels

Saeideh Daryazadeh ¹, Maryam Yavari ^{2,*}, Seyed-Ramin Madani ³, Abbas Taghavi-Ardakani ⁴, Mohammad Javad Azadchahr ⁴ and Farshad Shayeghi ⁵

¹Medical Education Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

²Medical Education Development Research Center, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, Iran

³Department of Pediatrics, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, Iran

⁴Department of Biostatic, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, Iran

⁵Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, Iran.

*Corresponding author: Medical Education Development Research Center, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, Iran. Email: maryyavari52@gmail.com

Received 2021 October 16; Revised 2022 January 05; Accepted 2022 January 05.

Abstract

Background: E-learning is an essential application of information and communication technology, which is emphasized in facilitating teaching-learning activities and the use of online resources.

Objectives: The present study aimed to investigate the correlation between e-learning attitudes and academic achievement in medical students.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted on 154 residents, interns, and clerkships at Shahid Beheshti Hospital in Kashan, Iran in 2020. Data were collected using two standard questionnaires, and the students' grade point average (GPA) was considered as their academic achievement. Data analysis was performed in SPSS version 26 using descriptive statistics (frequency tables) and inferential statistics (independent *t*-test, ANOVA, and correlation-coefficient) at the significance level of $P \leq 0.05$.

Results: The students' attitude toward e-learning was considered favorable with the score estimated at 77.5. However, the students' attitude toward e-learning had no significant correlation with their academic achievement ($P = 0.169$).

Conclusions: Given the satisfaction of the students with e-learning, we could use the capacities and strengths of e-learning to ensure the quality of learning in medical education. Furthermore, these capacities could be employed to overcome the barriers and limitations of traditional education, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Keywords: Medical Student, Medical Education, Learning, Academic Success

1. Background

Academic achievement and academic failure are key criteria to assess the efficiency of the educational system of universities (1). Evidently, educational conditions are essential to the success of students in acquiring scientific and professional skills to achieve the missions of the university (2). Identifying these conditions and the influential factors in students' academic achievement could provide an effective approach to planning and developing educational programs, thereby leading to optimal outcomes for both the educational institution and students (3).

The constant development of information and communication technologies is a landmark of the modern era, which has brought about extensive and profound changes in various aspects of human life, as well as the introduction of e-learning as a new approach in educational systems (4).

E-learning refers to the use of electronic systems with the aim of saving time and money (5). Since e-learning students have more control and focus on their learning compared to traditional learning, they can enjoy new learning opportunities and improve the quality of learning by facilitating access to educational resources and services (6).

E-learning has been accepted as the main method in the teaching-learning process and affects students' academic achievement (7). According to Barrow et al., the performance of computer-trained students has been superior to the performance of the students trained traditionally (8). Furthermore, the study by Elliot indicated that the performance of the group trained by multimedia was above average and better than the performance of the control group (9). In another research, Deryakulu et al. reported a significant correlation between students' aca-

ademic achievement and teaching methods with information and communication technology (10). Keshavarz et al. also observed that e-learning had a positive effect on students' academic achievement (11).

According to Parkes et al., students were not well prepared in practice for electronic learning activities (12). On the other hand, Golband et al. introduced the four factors of course content, teacher and instructor, student and learner, and an available e-learning system to be influential in this regard (13). In addition to preparedness for e-learning, the participation of learners in an e-learning experience is associated with their specific attitude toward such training, which may be positive or negative depending on the quality of this experience (14). Therefore, attitude plays a key role in e-learning courses (15) and is a major predictive factor of students' academic achievement with e-learning (16).

Attitude depends on increasing the knowledge and awareness of the audience about e-learning programs, which increases students' insight and understanding, reduces resistance, and lays the groundwork for their acceptance of e-learning systems (17, 18). According to the findings of Kazemi Gharajeh and Amin Khandaghi, students gained a proper understanding and attitude toward e-learning after participating in these courses (19). Moreover, the findings of Azizi et al. indicated that the attitude toward e-learning and self-regulation has a positive and significant effect on the academic achievement of medical students (20).

2. Objectives

The present study aimed to investigate the correlation between e-learning attitudes and academic achievement in medical students.

3. Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted on medical students in clinical levels who had educational activity and at least six months of attendance in Shahid Beheshti Educational Hospital in Kashan, Iran in 2020, and their educational activities were performed through Navid or Adobe Connect software.

Considering the total number of the medical students in this center ($n = 392$), who were selected via convenience sampling, as well as Cochran's formula and an error rate of 0.05, the sample size of the study was determined to be

194, including 50 clerkships, 94 interns, and 50 residents. In total, 154 students (nearly 80% of the sample size) participated in the study.

$$n = \frac{\frac{Z^2 pq}{d^2}}{1 + \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{Z^2 pq}{d^2} - 1 \right)}$$

The exclusion criteria were the students' attendance in other teaching hospitals and incomplete questionnaires.

Data collection tools were self-administered online questionnaire, and the participants were asked to complete the questionnaires honestly and without concerns regarding the disclosure of their opinions.

E-learning ability questionnaire was used for data collection, which has been developed and validated by Watkins et al. (2004) (21). This 25-item questionnaire is scored based on a five-point Likert Scale (strongly agree-strongly disagree) in six dimensions, including skills and online communication, access to technology, ability to learn through the media, internet group discussions, important issues for success in e-learning and motivation, and assessing the ability of e-learning. Scores below 27 indicated poor e-learning ability, scores 27 - 81 showed moderate ability, and scores above 81 indicated favorable e-learning ability. The validity (opinions of professors and experts) and reliability (Cronbach's alpha coefficient: 0.86) of the questionnaire were previously confirmed in Iran by Ahangar (2014) (22). In the present study, the Cronbach's alpha coefficient was estimated at 0.885.

Another data collection tool was the e-learning attitude questionnaire based on the study by Naghavi, which consists of 15 items scored based on a Likert Scale (strongly agree-strongly disagree) in four dimensions, including e-learning as an independent learning environment, e-learning as an effective learning environment, e-learning as a multimedia learning environment, and e-learning as an instruction-based learning environment. In the study by Naghavi, the validity of the questionnaire was confirmed by graduate students and several professors, and the reliability was also confirmed at the Cronbach's alpha coefficient of 0.92 (18). In the present study, the Cronbach's alpha coefficient of the scale was calculated to be 0.933.

In order to assess the academic achievement of the students, we considered the GPA of the clerkships and interns at the end of the semester, as well as the GPA of the residents at the end of three months.

3.1. Statistical Analysis

Data analysis was performed in SPSS version 26 using descriptive statistics (frequency distribution, central

indices, and dispersion) and inferential statistics, including independent *t*-test to compare the attitude toward e-learning between the male and female students, analysis of variance (ANOVA) to compare the attitude toward e-learning between the residents, interns, and clerkships, and correlation-coefficients to assess the correlation of attitude toward e-learning with age, academic achievement and e-learning rate. In all the statistical analyses, the significance level was set at $P < 0.05$.

4. Results

In total, 154 students including 45 clerkships, 63 interns, and 46 residents were enrolled in the study (Table 1). The ability and understanding of e-learning was considered favorable in more than 90% of the participants (Table 2). Furthermore, the score of attitude toward e-learning was 77.5, which was also considered acceptable (Table 3). Attitude toward e-learning had a significant correlation with gender and the rate of e-learning. However, attitude toward e-learning had no significant correlation with the students' academic achievement (Table 4).

5. Discussion

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic crisis in the world and to comply with health protocols, e-learning has become the most important application of information technology in various educational systems across the world. According to the results of the present study, the rate of e-learning had a significant correlation only with the gender of the learners. Based on education levels, the rate of e-learning had a significant correlation with gender only in the residents, which is consistent with the study by Okhovati et al. (23).

Our findings also indicated that the rate of e-learning was excellent in more than 90% of the learners. In the virtual environment, students and faculties are separated from each other in terms of time and place (24). Therefore, information technology skills must be prioritized for students to be able to participate in e-learning (25). This highlights the need to enhance the online skills of these individuals by measures such as implementing workshops. Our findings in this regard are consistent with the studies by Hedayati et al. (26) and Okhovati et al. (23).

According to the current research, the mean score of motivation was 9.2, which indicated that the participants lacked high motivation to receive e-learning. Meanwhile,

the motivation of the medical students in designing effective e-learning courses is paramount (27). E-learning is a novel approach, and most students are unfamiliar with this method (28). According to Shabani, three main factors could explain learners' lack of motivation to partake in e-learning, including unfamiliarity with e-learning, lack of a clear goal to participate in e-learning, and resistance to change (29). In order to reduce resistance to change regarding the type of education, students' real interests, beliefs, and desires should be derived from their everyday life needs (30). Our findings in this regard are consistent with the results obtained by Parkes et al. (12), Zegordi et al. (31), Hedayati et al. (26), and Maleki Marasht et al. (32), while inconsistent with the studies by Puljak et al. (33) and Kamalian and Fazel (34).

Regarding access to technology, the mean score was estimated at 12.1, indicating the poor learning of the students. This is inconsistent with the study by Hedayati et al. (26), while consistent with the studies by Kamalian and Fazel (34), Maleki Marasht et al. (32), and Parkes et al. (12). Technology plays a key role in the development of e-learning (35). Virtual education is more dependent on information and communication than other open and distance learning approaches (36), and without proper equipment, implementing e-learning is extremely difficult (37).

With regard to internet group discussions, the mean score was determined to be 12.1 in the present study, indicating the poor learning of the students. This is consistent with the studies by Hedayati et al. (26) and Parkes et al. (12), while inconsistent with the studies by Kamalian and Fazel (34) and Maleki Marasht et al. (32). Poor interaction between students and professors is considered to be a major learning challenge in virtual educational environments.

According to the results of the present study, attitude toward e-learning had a significant correlation with gender and the rate of e-learning. This is consistent with the studies by Puljak et al. (33), Jović et al. (38), and Azizi et al. (20), while inconsistent with the studies by Okhovati et al. (23) and Dikmen (39). This discrepancy could be due to differences in environments, geographical conditions for access to electronic resources, other research, variables, and the use of different tools. Furthermore, the attitude of learners toward e-learning was considered satisfactory in the current research, which is consistent with the studies by Pakseresht et al. (40), Okhovati et al. (23), Jović et al. (38), Puljak et al. (33), Dikmen (39), and Azizi et al. (20).

Our findings demonstrated no significant correlation between e-learning and the academic achievement of the

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics for Demographic Variables and Academic Achievement^a

Variables	Resident (N = 46)	Intern (N = 63)	Clerkship (N = 45)	Total
Gender				
Female	23 (50)	32 (50.8)	24 (53.3)	79 (51.3)
Male	23 (50)	31 (49.2)	21 (46.7)	75 (48.7)
Age (y)	32.04 ± 3.46 (27 - 40)	26.03 ± 1.78 (23 - 36)	24.33 ± 1.62 (22 - 31)	27.33 ± 3.95
E-learning background	44 (95.7)	53 (84.1)	44 (97.8)	141 (91.6)
Familiarity with Navid and adobe connect systems	45 (97.8)	60 (95.2)	45 (100)	150 (97.4)
Academic achievement (GPA)	16.99 ± 0.74 (15 - 8.5)	15.69 ± 0.95 (13.88 - 8.02)	15.42 ± 1.15 (12.89 - 7.72)	16.00 ± 1.16

^a Data reported as No. (%) or mean ± SD (min-max).**Table 2.** Learners' E-learning Rate^a

Variables	Values
Skills and online communication	37.11 ± 4.42
Motivation	9.78 ± 2.69
Access to technology	12.77 ± 1.95
Ability to learn through media	12.51 ± 2.30
Internet group discussions	12.62 ± 1.86
Important issues in e-learning success	13.36 ± 3.86
Total e-learning score	98.15 ± 12.21
Poor (< 27)	0
Moderate (27 - 81)	15 (9.7)
Good (> 81)	139 (90.3)

^a Data reported as No. (%) or mean ± SD.**Table 3.** Learners' Attitude Toward E-learning^a

Variables	Mean ± SD	Percentage Score
Independent learning environment	32.36 ± 6.92	77.08
Effective learning environment	13.58 ± 3.87	64.7
Multimedia learning environment	18.01 ± 3.35	85.7
Instructor-based learning environment	17.45 ± 3.85	83.09
Attitude to e-learning	81.39 ± 15.00	77.5

^a Percentage score of < 50: unfavorable attitude to e-learning; scores 50 - 70: semi-desirable attitudes; scores > 70: desirable attitude

medical residents, interns, and clerkships, which is inconsistent with the studies by Deryakulu et al. (10) and Keshavarz et al. (11). This discrepancy could be attributed to the differences in the research environment and the influential factors in academic achievement.

5.1. Limitations of the Study

This study was only performed in a teaching hospital, and the students' participation was optional. Although the obtained results cannot be generalized, they could be employed as a general model.

5.2. Suggestions

Medical universities should develop proper e-learning, technical, and communication infrastructures, thereby encouraging students to turn to e-learning with the aim of improving their academic achievement.

5.3. Conclusions

According to the results, participation in various e-learning courses positively affected the learners' attitude toward such novel training. The capacities and strengths of e-learning could be exploited to overcome the obstacles and limitations of traditional education, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic. Therefore, it is recommended that further investigations in this regard evaluate the efficacy of virtual education on a clinical level.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Study concept and design: M. Y. and S.R.M, A.T.; Analysis and interpretation of data: M. Y. and F.Sh.; Drafting of the manuscript: S. D.; Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: S. D.; Statistical analysis: M.J. A.

Conflict of Interests: There is no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval: The study protocol was approved with the ethics code IR.KAUMS.MEDNT.REC.1400.017.

Funding/Support: This study was extracted from a dissertation in general medicine conducted at Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Iran.

Table 4. Correlations Between Learners' Attitude toward E-learning and Demographic Variables, Academic Achievement, and E-learning

Variables	Attitude Toward E-learning			
	Resident	Intern	Clerkship	Total
Gender				
Female	82.56 ± 10.81	79.44 ± 11.45	74.54 ± 20.48	78.86 ± 14.79
Male	86.82 ± 6.35	84.45 ± 15.99	80.47 ± 19.14	84.07 ± 14.86
P-value	0.110	0.157	0.323	0.031
Age (year)				
Correlation-coefficient	0.122	-0.034	-0.177	0.121
P-value	0.419	0.794	0.244	0.136
Education level				
Resident				84.69 ± 9.03
Intern				81.90 ± 13.99
Clerkship				77.31 ± 19.87
P-value				0.064
Academic achievement (GPA)				
Correlation-coefficient	0.046	0.123	-0.065	0.111
P-value	0.760	0.338	0.672	0.169
E-learning rate				
Correlation-coefficient	0.438	0.564	0.695	0.576
P-value	0.002	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001

References

1. Farmahini Farahani MN. *The relationship of locus of control, extraversion neuroticism with the academic achievement of Iranian students*. Sydney, Australia: The University of New South Wales; 2014.
2. Hazavehei SMM, Fathei Y, Shamshirei M. Study on the Causes of Students Academic probation in Hamadan University of Medical Sciences 20012002. *Stride Dev Med Educ*. 2006;**3**(1):33–42.
3. Farajollahi M, Najafi H, Nosrati K, Mansoori H, Sadat Fatemi M. Developing an evaluation model for e-learning in higher-education: A case study of Payame Noor University. *J Educ Pract*. 2013;**4**(5):1–9.
4. Geller J, Toftness AR, Armstrong PI, Carpenter SK, Manz CL, Coffman CR, et al. Study strategies and beliefs about learning as a function of academic achievement and achievement goals. *Memory*. 2018;**26**(5):683–90. doi: [10.1080/09658211.2017.1397175](https://doi.org/10.1080/09658211.2017.1397175). [PubMed: 29096586].
5. Hoseyni Z, Salehi M. Student blog design and impact on students' attitudes to optimize the learning process and its relation to dimensions of Total Quality Management in Education (TQM in teaching)-Modular MPH students of Tehran University. *Iran J Med Educ*. 2009;**3**:114.
6. Razavizadeh S. Identify the critical success factors (CSF) universities e-learning centers in Iran and evaluation of these factors in Shahid Beheshti University. *Shahid Beheshti Univ*. 2016.
7. Anderson T. *The theory and practice of online learning*. Athabasca University Press; 2008.
8. Barrow L, Markman L, Rouse CE. Technology's Edge: The Educational Benefits of Computer-Aided Instruction. *Am Econ J*. 2009;**1**(1):52–74. doi: [10.1257/pol.1.1.52](https://doi.org/10.1257/pol.1.1.52).
9. Elliot S. *Multimedia in Schools: A study of web-based animation effectiveness*. Center on Teaching and Learning; 2010. Available from: <https://ctl.uoregon.edu/>.
10. Deryakulu D, Büyükoztürk Ş, Özçınar H. Predictors of academic achievement of student ICT teachers with different learning styles. *Anxiety*. 2009;**8**:0.79.
11. Keshavarz M, Rahimi M, Esmaeili Z. The effect of e-learning on the academic development of university students. *J Torbat Heydariyeh Univ Med Sci*. 2013;**1**(2):13–21.
12. Parkes M, Stein S, Reading C. Student preparedness for university e-learning environments. *Internet High Educ*. 2015;**25**:1–10. doi: [10.1016/j.iheduc.2014.10.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2014.10.002).
13. Golband F, Hosseini AF, Mojtahedzadeh R, Mirhosseini F, Bigdeli S. The correlation between effective factors of e-learning and demographic variables in a post-graduate program of virtual medical education in Tehran University of medical sciences. *Acta Medica Iranica*. 2014:860–4.
14. Botha J. *Facilitating the development of Complex Thinking Skills in a Web-based learning Environment: A Design Experiment*. University of Johannesburg (South Africa); 2012.
15. Sam HK, Othman AEA, Nordin ZS. Computer self-efficacy, computer anxiety, and attitudes toward the Internet: A study among undergraduates in Unimas. *J Educ Technol Soc*. 2005;**8**(4):205–19.
16. Ali IEH. Measuring Students e-Readiness for e-Learning at Egyptian Faculties of Tourism and Hotels. *Conference proceedings of eLearning and Software for Education «(eLSE)*. Carol I National Defence University Publishing House; 2010. p. 145–54.
17. Laurillard D. E-learning in higher education. *Changing Higher Education*. Routledge; 2005. p. 87–100. doi: [10.4324/9780203479292-16](https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203479292-16).
18. Naghavi MA. Study of Teachers and Students Attitude toward E-learning: Surveying in Iran's E-learning Universities. *Q J Res Plan High Educ*. 2007;**13**(1):157–76.
19. Kazemi Gharajeh M, Amin Khandaghi M. Evaluating the Quality of E-Content from Viewpoints of Students of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences. *Inf Commun Technol Educ Sci*. 2014;**4**(4 (16)):75–93.
20. Azizi M, Jafari Karafestani Z, Abedini M. The Study of Relationship Between Attitude to e-Learning and Self-Regulation with Academic Achievement of Students in Babol University of Medical Sciences. *Med Educ Dev*. 2017;**12**(1 and 2):114–27.
21. Watkins R, Leigh D, Triner D. Assessing Readiness for E-Learning. *Perform Improv Q*. 2004;**17**(4):66–79. doi: [10.1111/j.1937-8327.2004.tb00321.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1937-8327.2004.tb00321.x).

22. Ahangar V. *The effect of knowledge management processes on e-learning (Case study: General Inspection Organization), Master Thesis in Production Management*. Islamic Azad University, Central Tehran Branch; 2014.
23. Okhovati M. Attitude, knowledge and skill of medical students toward e-learning kerman university of medical sciences. *Educ Strateg Med Sci*. 2015;**8**(1):51-8.
24. Seraji F, Attaran M, Ali Asgari M. A study of characteristics of curriculum design in Iranian virtual universities and its comparison with the model of virtual university curriculum development. *Q J Res Plan High Educ*. 2009;**14**(4):97-118.
25. Yaghoubi J, Malek Mohammadi I, Iravani H, Attaran M. Desired characteristics of faculty members and students in e-learning in higher education of Iran: Virtual students' viewpoint. *Q J Res Plan High Educ*. 2008;**14**(1):160173-0.
26. Hedayati K A, Ghasemzadeh A, Maleki S. The Amount of Students' Preparation and Professors' Attitude towards E-learning System Implementation. *Sci Techniq Inf Manag*. 2019;**4**(4):157-81.
27. Kim K. *Adult learners' motivation in self-directed e-learning*. Indiana University; 2005.
28. Mosadegh H, Kharazi K, Bazargan A. Conducting feasibility of e-learning in gas companies in Yazd province. *J Sci Technol Inf*. 2011;**3**:547-69.
29. Shabani H. *Educational skills (teaching methods and techniques)*. Samt; 2005.
30. Shahnnavazi A, Mehraeen E, Bagheri S, Miri Z, Mohammadghasemi M. Survey of students readiness to use of e-learning technology. *J Paramed Sci Rehabil*. 2017;**6**(3):60-6.
31. Zegordi BS, Bordbar A, Leqaei T. Studying the feasibility and needs for implementing e-learning projects in Fasa University of Medical Sciences. *Horiz Med Educ*. 2010;**4**(11-7).
32. Maleki Marasht M, Ghalaei A, Mousavi SA. Investigating the level of the readiness of Urmia University Students for participating in E-Learning System. *J Epistemol*. 2012;**5**(18):123-39.
33. Puljak L, Civljak M, Haramina A, Malisa S, Cavic D, Klinec D, et al. Attitudes and concerns of undergraduate university health sciences students in Croatia regarding complete switch to e-learning during COVID-19 pandemic: a survey. *BMC Med Educ*. 2020;**20**(1):416. doi: [10.1186/s12909-020-02343-7](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-020-02343-7). [PubMed: [33167960](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33167960/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC7652670](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC7652670/)].
34. Kamalian AR, Fazel AMIR. The examination of prerequisites and evaluation of facilities of electronic learning system. *Technol Educ J*. 2009;**3**(4):247-61.
35. Rhema A, Miliszewska I. Towards E-Learning in Higher Education in Libya. *Issues Inf Sci Inf Technol*. 2010;**7**:423-37. doi: [10.28945/i218](https://doi.org/10.28945/i218).
36. Ebrahimzadeh I. Transition from Traditional Distance Learning to On-line Learning at PNU: Innovation and Challenge of Change,(A Case Study). *Q J Res Plan High Educ*. 2007;**13**(1):113-34.
37. Aydin CH, Tasci D. Measuring readiness for e-learning: Reflections from an emerging country. *J Educ Techno Soc*. 2005;**8**(4):244-57.
38. Jović M, Neskovic E, Kostic Stankovic M. Factors Affecting Students' Attitudes towards E-Learning. *Management*. 2017;**22**(2):73-80. doi: [10.7595/10.7595/management.fon.2017.0016](https://doi.org/10.7595/10.7595/management.fon.2017.0016).
39. Dikmen M. The Mediating Role of Medical Students' Attitudes towards Distance Education in the Relationship between E-Learning Styles and Academic Achievements. *J Educ Issues*. 2020;**6**(2):351-73. doi: [10.5296/jei.v6i2.17789](https://doi.org/10.5296/jei.v6i2.17789).
40. Pakseresht S, Khalili-Sabet M, Vahedi MA, Monfared A. comparative study for Knowledge and Attitudes of Virtual and Non-Virtual Students towards E-Learning. *Res Med Educ*. 2016;**8**(4):61-8. doi: [10.18869/acadpub.rme.8.4.61](https://doi.org/10.18869/acadpub.rme.8.4.61).